



**NWT RESIDENT HUNTER HARVEST
SURVEY RESULTS FOR LICENCE
YEAR 1996/97**

ADRIAN M. D'HONT

**DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES, WILDLIFE AND
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

**GOVERNMENT OF NORTHWEST TERRITORIES
YELLOWKNIFE, NT**

2000

**RWED LIBRARY
GOVT OF THE NWT
YELLOWKNIFE**

**RWED LIBRARY
GOVT OF THE NWT
YELLOWKNIFE**

Manuscript Report No. 134

THE CONTENTS OF THIS PAPER ARE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE AUTHOR.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	12
LITERATURE CITED	13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. First page of questionnaire.	5
Figure 2. Second page of questionnaire.	6
Figure 3. NWT Wildlife Management Areas in 1996/97.	7

LIST OF APPENDICES

Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders.....	Appendix 1
Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters	Appendix 2
Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave.....	Appendix 3
Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported & Estimated.....	Appendix 4
Waterfowl Harvest Reported by Community Respondents.....	Appendix 5
Waterfowl Hunting, Estimates of Permits, Response and Harvest.....	Appendix 6
Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents.....	Appendix 7
Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents.....	Appendix 8
Management Areas Hunted for Black Bear by Community Respondents.....	Appendix 9
Management Areas Hunted for Dall's Sheep by Community Respondents.....	Appendix 10
Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents.....	Appendix 11
Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas.....	Appendix 12
Communities that Hunted Black Bear in the Various Management Areas.....	Appendix 13
Communities that Hunted Dall's Sheep in the Various Management Areas.....	Appendix 14
Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas.....	Appendix 15

INTRODUCTION

NWT Resident Hunters are primarily non-natives who meet the 2-year residency requirement and are 16 or more years of age for big game hunting or, if certain conditions are met, can be between 14 and 16 years of age for small game. Resident Hunters make up approximately 20% of the 1996/97 NWT hunting public. Approximately half of the population of NWT Resident Hunters lives in Yellowknife.

The NWT Resident Hunter Survey in much its present form has been ongoing since hunting licence year 1982/83. Minor changes have occurred over the years to the list of included species and questionnaire layout. The underlying method of 3 sequential mailings at the end of the licence year (July 1 to June 30) and the expansion of survey results to estimated total harvest has remained unchanged throughout the years.

This survey attempts to obtain hunting and harvesting information from all NWT Resident Hunters who purchased big game or small game hunting licences in the previous licence year. The list of hunters to survey is taken from the centralized Game Licensing system. Any inaccuracies in the licencing system database of course will be carried into the survey, the results and the projections to estimated total harvest.

Survey results are typically organized by community and region for each species. Community is where the hunter resides or resided at the time of licence purchase. Dall's sheep hunting showing up for a Baffin community can mean that a community resident travelled to take advantage of an opportunity to hunt or has relocated.

The wildlife covered by the survey in 1996/97 included barren-ground and woodland caribou, moose, Dall's sheep, black bear, wolf, wolverine, hares, grouse, ducks and geese. Resident Hunters can each purchase up to 5 barren-ground caribou tags and

any number of wolf and wolverine tags. The tag limit for woodland caribou, moose, black bear and Dall's sheep is 1 per hunter per year.

Some species are hunted incidentally or in combination with other primarily sought species. The popularity of hunted game in descending order, based on available licencing information, is small game, moose, barren-ground caribou, woodland caribou, wolf, black bear, wolverine then Dall's sheep. Licencing information for waterfowl is not directly available for 1996/97 Resident Hunters but can be estimated from the proportion of responding hunters that reported holding migratory bird permits. For this year that works out to be 258 migratory bird permits for the NWT Resident Hunters included on the 1996/97 hunter list. This ranks waterfowl between woodland caribou and wolf for popularity with Resident Hunters.

Results from the survey are summarized and presented in a series of tables that address interest in hunting, response to the survey, hunting effort, hunt success, composition of the harvest, wildlife management area use and projections of estimated total harvests.

METHODS

Hunting Licence information was maintained in a centralized database in the Game Licencing System. Annual licence and tag information from Renewable Resources offices and private vendors in the various regions was entered, batch mode, into the database tables by Department staff in regional centres. Resident Hunter name, address, licence and tag information was subsequently downloaded at the end of the licence year to form the list of hunters to be surveyed. As soon as practicable at the end of the licence year, the survey package was mailed out to all Resident Hunters on the hunter list. The survey package included a letter introducing the survey and inviting the recipients' participation, a questionnaire on hunting activity and success, a small map of the wildlife management areas, charts of previous years' results and a prepaid business reply mail envelope. The method of re-mailing the same basic package with slightly strengthening tone of the accompanying letter for 2 follow-ups of the non-respondents at intervals of approximately 6 weeks has remained the same as reported by Chalmers (1990). The questionnaire employed (Figures 1 & 2) and the included map (Figure 3) are reproduced on pages 5 - 7.

Compiled results from survey responses are projected to estimated total harvests by the "ratio method" using this algorithm:

$$\text{estimated harvest} = \text{reported harvest} \times \text{total tags issued} \div \text{tags held by respondents}$$

This equation is used per species and can integrate groups of hunters from community to whole territory levels.

In the case of the widely hunted barren-ground caribou, the so-called "linear regression method" can also be used to project reported kill to estimated total harvest. In

this method reported kills accumulated over the response waves (for mailings 1 to 3) are regressed against accumulated tags reporting and the estimated kill is determined by extrapolating the best fit line to total issued tag number. The Norecon report (GNWT 1994) found that there is no significant difference in estimates derived by the ratio or the regression method at the regional level of integration. The regression method is not always practical at the lower levels of hunter integration where there are few hunters and little caribou hunting. The method relies on adequate response over three waves to establish a best-fit line. The regression method has typically been used at the level of regional integration.

Estimates of community waterfowl harvests are derived by dividing reported kills by the overall survey response rate for those communities that reported at least 1 permit in the survey. The estimated number of Migratory Game Bird Hunting Permits for each community is derived in the same way by dividing reported permits by the overall response rate for that community. At the regional and territorial levels, only those communities that reported at least one permit are included in the grouping for permit and harvest estimation.

HUNTER HARVEST QUESTIONNAIRE 1996/97

DO NOT REMOVE LABEL.
QUESTIONNAIRE IS CONFIDENTIAL
WHEN COMPLETED.

NOTE:

1. THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1996 TO JUNE 30, 1997.
2. PLEASE complete each section whether your hunting trip was successful or not.
3. This information is confidential and will not be used for enforcement purposes.
4. A 'Wildlife Zone' map is enclosed.

IF YOU PURCHASED A SMALL GAME AUTHORIZATION ONLY PLEASE PROCEED TO SECTION H

A **DID YOU HUNT BARREN GROUND CARIBOU?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Number of each type of kill		
				Bulls	Cows	Juveniles

B **DID YOU HUNT MOOSE?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Bull	Type of kill	
					Cow	Juvenile

C **DID YOU HUNT WOODLAND CARIBOU?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Bull	Type of kill	
					Cow	Juvenile

D **DID YOU HUNT BLACK BEAR?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Type of Kill	
				Boar	Sow

Figure 1. First page of questionnaire.

E DID YOU HUNT WOLVES? Yes ☐ No ☐ Wildlife Zone _____ Did you kill any wolves? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, how many? _____ General Location: _____	F DID YOU HUNT WOLVERINE? Yes ☐ No ☐ Wildlife Zone _____ Did you kill any wolverines? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, how many? _____ General Location: _____
---	--

G DID YOU HUNT DALLS SHEEP? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐ Please provide the following information - whether you were successful or not.					
Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Type of Kill	
				3/4 curl	full curl


H DID YOU HUNT SMALL GAME? Yes ☐ No ☐ How many of each kind did you bag?							
Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharp- Tailed Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare

I DID YOU HAVE A MIGRATORY BIRD PERMIT LAST YEAR? Yes ☐ No ☐ Did you hunt waterfowl? Yes ☐ No ☐ Indicate number bagged														
Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Dates Hunted	Number of days hunted	DUCKS							GEESE			
				Mallard	Pintail	Scaup	Teal	Wigeon	Other Ducks	Snow Geese	Canada Geese	White Fronted Geese	Other Geese	

COMMENTS: _____

Figure 2. Second page of questionnaire.

Outfitter Areas



Map produced by:
NWT Centre for
Remote Sensing

Sanikiluaq

Figure 3. NWT Wildlife Management Areas in 1996/97

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 1996/97 there were 2016 NWT Resident Hunters recorded in the Game Licencing System. Of these, 1826 had big game licences and 1453 held authorizations for small game. The survey package was mailed to 100% of the hunters and was delivered to 1662 of them over the course of 3 mailing waves. Replies were received from 949 of the hunters who received the survey package. Hunting activity was reported by 735 of those who responded to the survey. Detailed information, by community, on numbers of hunters and their licences is listed in **Appendix 1: *Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders***. **Appendix 2: *Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters*** details the response down to community level.

Overall, there is considerable variation in the level of hunt detail provided and in the completeness of response received back from hunters in this survey. When preparing the results tables, attempts were made to include any and all information provided.

Results for hunter-group integrations at successively higher levels are calculated from the original response data and are not derived from lower level groupings reported in the same tables. This is sometimes necessary because of respondent inconsistencies in filling in the blanks on the survey questionnaire. For example, there may be a number of hunts reported but duration information may only be reported for a fraction of those. Average days hunted can only be calculated on the basis of the number of hunts that reported their duration and not on the total of all hunts made.

Some species are hunted incidentally or in combination with other primarily sought species. The popularity of hunted game in descending order, based on available Resident Hunter licencing information and estimates of migratory game bird permits, is

small game, moose, barren-ground caribou, woodland caribou, waterfowl, wolf, black bear, wolverine then Dall's sheep.

Appendix 3: *Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave* presents hunt success by hunt number for each of the survey response waves and uses the ratio method to expand total reported kill to an estimated total kill down to the community level. Waterfowl are not included in this table.

Grouping hunters at successively higher integration levels for harvest estimation purposes can compensate for communities that don't respond, but it does not capture any hunter behaviour or game availability differences that may exist at a more local scale, assuming most hunting is done close to home.

The species composition of small game harvested per community is presented in **Appendix 4: *Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported and Estimated Kill***.

A species breakdown of reported waterfowl harvest is detailed in **Appendix 5: *Waterfowl Harvest Reported by Community Respondents***. **Appendix 6: *Waterfowl Hunting, Estimates of Permits, Response and Harvest*** presents the estimated number of Migratory Bird permits held by Resident Hunters for those communities that reported at least 1 permit. Waterfowl projection methods for this report are entirely based on hunter response for both total permit and total harvest estimates. The reply rate shown is the overall hunter response rate to the survey in that community. It is assumed that the percentage of hunters with Migratory Game Bird permits is the same for respondents and non-respondents in those communities that reported permits and that all waterfowl hunters behave similarly.

The Norecon report (GNWT 1994) and to some extent the Chalmers (1990) report engage in a discussion of the validity of estimating harvest for a population of NWT Resident Hunters from a respondent sample. The basic assumptions in the estimation method employed are that hunt information is reported accurately and that the behavior and success of the respondents indeed reflects that of the entire population of interest. At this point we continue to live with the uncertainties inherent in these assumptions but can take some comfort in the fact that 57% of those who received the questionnaire responded to it. The year-to-year consistency of the method can provide, at a very minimum, a basis for valid trend assessment across spans of years (assuming of course that the licence and tag information at the start is correct).

Hunting effort expressed as average number of days spent hunting is presented per community in **Appendix 7: *Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents***. No hunt duration information was collected for small game, wolf and wolverine hunts.

Information on management areas hunted is presented in a series of tables in the appendices listed below. These tables include the number of hunts and age/sex information for any reported caribou, moose, black bear and Dall's sheep kills. Information on waterfowl, small game, wolf and wolverine lists the number of reported hunts and total kills only. The hunts included in these tables are only those where a management area was listed on the completed questionnaire.

The wildlife management area labeling on the map used for the 1996/97 survey caused confusion for some survey respondents. The new naming convention adopted has management areas organized by land claim area and species. For the purposes of this

survey, it was decided to go with a combination of outfitter areas and barren-ground caribou areas as much as possible. In addition to the labeling difficulties, the boundaries between units R, D and U are out of place, as the western edges of R and U do not coincide with those of the barren-ground caribou areas in those units. Some obvious cases of hunting area mis-assignment that were noticed in the responses were corrected. In those cases where management area only was given as a hunt location, any errors in assignment will of course remain.

Appendix 8: *Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents* (cervids include barren-ground and woodland caribou and moose).

Appendix 9: *Management Areas Hunted for Black Bear by Community Respondents*.

Appendix 10: *Management Areas Hunted for Dall's Sheep by Community Respondents*.

Appendix 11: *Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents* (includes reported hunts and total reported kills for small game, waterfowl, wolf and wolverine).

Appendices 12 to 15 cover a series of tables that present the same hunt and kill information as the previous 4 but organize it by management area with the communities that hunt there listed for each.

Appendix 12: *Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas*.

Appendix 13: *Communities that Hunted Black Bear in the Various Management Areas*.

Appendix 14: *Communities that Hunted Dall's Sheep in the Various Management Areas*.

Appendix 15: *Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is the NWT Resident Hunters who year after year take the time to complete the survey questionnaires who enable this study to continue. It is this relationship of responsible hunters with their wildlife management agencies that will allow the sustainable continuation of the hunting tradition.

Thanks must also be extended to those GNWT workers who administered our system of licencing and kept the central database updated with the information needed to run this survey.

Big thanks also to all the helpers at wildlife headquarters who lent a hand in getting all the survey paper organized and in the mail to hunters.

LITERATURE CITED

Chalmers, L. 1990. Resident hunter harvest study summary report Northwest Territories 1988/89. Department of Renewable Resources, Government of the Northwest Territories, File Report No. 99. 33 pp.

Government of the Northwest Territories. 1994. Resident hunter harvest survey, main report. Norecon Ltd. for Department of Renewable Resources, Government of the Northwest Territories. 26 pp. + appendices.

APPENDIX 1

Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders

1996/97	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	BIG GAME LICENCES	SMALL GAME LICENCES
1996/97					
Baffin					
		Broughton Island	2	1	1
		Cape Dorset	9	9	9
		Clyde River	2	2	1
		Grise Fiord	1	0	1
		Hall Beach	2	2	1
		Igloolik	5	5	3
		Iqaluit	129	122	94
		Kimmirut	1	1	1
		Nanisivik	5	5	2
		Pangnirtung	5	5	5
		Pond Inlet	6	5	3
		Resolute Bay	1	1	1
		Total for Baffin Region (12 communities)	168	158	122
Delta-ISR					
		Aklavik	1	1	0
		Fort McPherson	2	1	1
		Inuvik	96	84	56
		Tsiigehtchic	1	0	1
		Tuktoyaktuk	1	1	0
		Total for Delta-ISR Region (5 communities)	101	87	58
Keewatin					
		Arviat	10	10	5
		Baker Lake	4	4	1
		Coral Harbour	5	5	1
		Rankin Inlet	31	30	12
		Repulse Bay	2	2	1
		Whale Cove	1	1	0
		Total for Keewatin Region (6 communities)	53	52	20
Kitikmeot					
		Cambridge Bay	24	23	6
		Gjoa Haven	4	4	1
		Kugluktuk	6	5	1

Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders

1996/97	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	BIG GAME LICENCES	SMALL GAME LICENCES
		Pelly Bay	4	3	1
		Taloyoak	5	5	2
		Total for Kitikmeot Region (5 communities)	43	40	11
	North Slave				
		Rae-Edzo	15	11	12
		Wha Ti	4	4	2
		Yellowknife	1133	1066	808
		Total for North Slave Region (3 communities)	1152	1081	822
	Sahtu				
		Deline	4	1	4
		Fort Good Hope	7	7	6
		Norman Wells	78	75	49
		Tulita	5	4	5
		Total for Sahtu Region (4 communities)	94	87	64
	South Great Slave				
		Enterprise	4	4	4
		Fort Reliance	1	1	1
		Fort Resolution	6	5	3
		Fort Smith	93	69	84
		Hay River	205	164	180
		Total for South Great Slave Region (5 communities)	309	243	272
	West Deh Cho				
		Fort Liard	14	12	12
		Fort Providence	7	4	5
		Fort Simpson	70	58	63
		Nahanni Butte	1	1	1
		Trout Lake	2	1	1
		Wrigley	2	2	2
		Total for West Deh Cho Region (6 communities)	96	78	84
	Total for 1996/97 (46 communities)		2016	1826	1453

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

APPENDIX 2

Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters

1996/97	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	MAILED TO	DELIVERED TO	REPLIED IN WAVE 1	REPLIED IN WAVE 2	REPLIED IN WAVE 3	TOTAL REPLY	RESPONDENTS WHO HUNTED
1996/97										
Baffin										
		Broughton Island	2	2	2	1			1	1
		Cape Dorset	9	9	8	4	2		6	6
		Clyde River	2	2	2	2			2	2
		Grise Fiord	1	1	1					
		Hall Beach	2	2	2		1		1	1
		Igloolik	5	5	5		1	1	2	2
		Iqaluit	129	129	119	54	16	10	80	67
		Kimmirut	1	1	1	1			1	1
		Nanisivik	5	5	4	2	1		3	3
		Pangnirtung	5	5	5	3	1		4	3
		Pond Inlet	6	6	6	2	1	1	4	4
		Resolute Bay	1	1	1					
Total for Baffin Region			168	168	156	69	23	12	104	89
Delta-ISR										
		Aklavik	1	1	1	1			1	1
		Fort McPherson	2	2	2	1	1		2	1
		Inuvik	96	96	89	29	15	6	50	37
		Tsiigehtchic	1	1	1	1			1	1
		Tuktoyaktuk	1	1	1	1			1	1
Total for Delta-ISR Region			101	101	94	33	16	6	55	39
Keewatin										
		Arviat	10	10	10	4	2	1	7	6

Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters

1996/97	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	MAILED TO	DELIVERED TO	REPLIED IN WAVE 1	REPLIED IN WAVE 2	REPLIED IN WAVE 3	TOTAL REPLY	RESPONDENTS WHO HUNTED
		Baker Lake	4	4	4	1		1	2	2
		Coral Harbour	5	5	5	1			1	1
		Rankin Inlet	31	31	29	4	7	3	14	8
		Repulse Bay	2	2	1	1			1	
		Whale Cove	1	1	1					
		Total for Keewatin Region	53	53	50	11	9	5	25	17
		Kitikmeot								
		Cambridge Bay	24	24	17	2	4		6	6
		Gjoa Haven	4	4	4	2	1		3	1
		Kugluktuk	6	6	6	1	2	1	4	2
		Pelly Bay	4	4	1	1			1	1
		Taloyoak	5	5	3	2			2	2
		Total for Kitikmeot Region	43	43	31	8	7	1	16	12
		North Slave								
		Rae-Edzo	15	15	12	1	1		2	1
		Wha Ti	4	4	4	3		1	4	3
		Yellowknife	1133	1133	833	312	101	40	453	354
		Total for North Slave Region	1152	1152	849	316	102	41	459	358
		Sahtu								
		Deline	4	4	4	1	1		2	2
		Fort Good Hope	7	7	7	1	2	1	4	4
		Norman Wells	78	78	76	33	14	4	51	44
		Tulita	5	5	5		1	1	2	2

Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters

1996/97	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	MAILED TO	DELIVERED TO	REPLIED IN WAVE 1	REPLIED IN WAVE 2	REPLIED IN WAVE 3	TOTAL REPLY	RESPONDENTS WHO HUNTED
Total for Sahtu Region										
			94	94	92	35	18	6	59	52
South Great Slave										
		Enterprise	4	4	4	1			1	
		Fort Reliance	1	1	1		1		1	1
		Fort Resolution	6	6	6		1	1	2	2
		Fort Smith	93	93	88	30	15	5	50	36
		Hay River	205	205	197	68	32	16	116	82
Total for South Great Slave Region			309	309	296	99	49	22	170	121
West Deh Cho										
		Fort Liard	14	14	14	7	2		9	7
		Fort Providence	7	7	7	1	4		5	3
		Fort Simpson	70	70	68	31	11	2	44	35
		Nahanni Butte	1	1	1					
		Trout Lake	2	2	2	1			1	1
		Wrigley	2	2	2		2		2	1
Total for West Deh Cho Region			96	96	94	40	19	2	61	47
Total for NWT for 1996/97										
			2016	2016	1662	611	243	95	949	735

APPENDIX 3

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97 Barren-Ground Caribou licences tags 1st hunt kills 2nd hunt kills 3rd hunt kills 4th hunt kills 5th hunt kills total kills survey coverage estimated kill (ratio method)

1996/97

Barren-Ground Caribou

Baffin

Broughton Island

Total Held 1 5

Wave 1 response

Wave 2 response

Wave 3 response

Total Response

Cape Dorset

Total Held 9 37

Wave 1 response 4 20

Wave 2 response 2 4

Wave 3 response 6 24

Total Response

Clyde River

Total Held 2 8

Wave 1 response 2 8

Wave 2 response

Wave 3 response

Total Response

Hall Beach

Total Held 1 2

Wave 1 response

Wave 2 response 1 2

Wave 3 response

Total Response

Igloodik

Total Held 5 16

Wave 1 response

Wave 2 response 1 3

Wave 3 response 1 4

Total Response

Iqaluit

Total Held 116 358

Wave 1 response 49 158

Wave 2 response 14 41

Wave 3 response 10 35

Total Response

116 358 49 158 14 41 10 35 73 234 66 20 13 7 4 110 34 29 173 65.4% 265

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Kimminut											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response			1	1	1	1	1	5		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response			1	1	1	1	1	5		
Nanisivik											
	Total Held	5	15								
	Wave 1 response	2	4								
	Wave 2 response	1	5								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	9							60.0%	
Pangnirtung											
	Total Held	5	18								
	Wave 1 response	3	11	7					7		
	Wave 2 response	1	5								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	16	7					7	88.9%	8
Pond Inlet											
	Total Held	6	21								
	Wave 1 response	2	7	2	4				6		
	Wave 2 response	1	5	4					4		
	Wave 3 response	1	2	1					1		
	Total Response	4	14	7	4				11	66.7%	17
Resolute Bay											
	Total Held	1	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Baffin											
	Total Held	151	482								
	Wave 1 response	62	208	88	35	20	10	9	162		
	Wave 2 response	21	65	39	5	1			45		
	Wave 3 response	12	41	21	6	3	1	1	32		
	Total Response	95	314	148	46	24	11	10	239	65.1%	367
Delta-ISR											
Aklavik											
	Total Held	1	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	2							100.0%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort McPherson											
	Total Held	72	163								
	Wave 1 response	26	62	23	5	1			29		
	Wave 2 response	13	34	16	4				20		
	Wave 3 response	5	7								
	Total Response	44	103	39	9	1			49	63.2%	78
Tuktoyaktuk											
	Total Held	1	5						1		
	Wave 1 response	1	5	1							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	5	1					1	100.0%	1
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	74	170								
	Wave 1 response	28	69	24	5	1			30		
	Wave 2 response	13	34	16	4				20		
	Wave 3 response	5	7								
	Total Response	46	110	40	9	1			50	64.7%	77
Keewatin											
	Total Held	8	26								
	Wave 1 response	4	12	7	4	1			12		
	Wave 2 response	1	5	5					5		
	Wave 3 response	1	2	1	1	1			3		
	Total Response	6	19	13	5	2			20	73.1%	27
Baker Lake											
	Total Held	4	11								
	Wave 1 response	1	5	4					4		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	2	3					3		
	Total Response	2	7	7					7	63.6%	11
Coral Harbour											
	Total Held	4	17								
	Wave 1 response	1	5	3	1				4		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	5	3	1				4	29.4%	14

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Rankin Inlet	Total Held	22	63								
	Wave 1 response	3	4	3	1				4		
	Wave 2 response	6	14	2		1		7	10		
	Wave 3 response	2	5	3					3		
	Total Response	11	23	8	1	1		7	17	36.5%	47
Repulse Bay	Total Held	2	4								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							25.0%	
Keewatin Regional Totals	Total Held	40	121								
	Wave 1 response	10	27	17	6	1			24		
	Wave 2 response	7	19	7		1		7	15		
	Wave 3 response	4	9	7	1	1			9		
	Total Response	21	55	31	7	3		7	48	45.5%	108
Kitikmeot											
Cambridge Bay	Total Held	20	70								
	Wave 1 response	2	4	3					3		
	Wave 2 response	4	15	8	2				10		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	19	11	2				13	27.1%	48
Gjoa Haven	Total Held	4	13								
	Wave 1 response	2	7	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	8	5					5	61.5%	8
Kugluktuk	Total Held	5	10								
	Wave 1 response	1	2	2					2		
	Wave 2 response	1	1	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	3	4	3					3	40.0%	8
Pelly Bay	Total Held	4	8								
	Wave 1 response	1	3		1	1		1	3		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	3		1	1		1	3	37.5%	8

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Taloyoak	Total Held	5	15						4		
	Wave 1 response	2	7	4							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response									46.7%	9
	Total Response	2	7	4					4		
Kitikmeot	Total Held	38	116						17		
	Wave 1 response	8	23	14	1	1		1	11		
	Wave 2 response	6	17	9	2						
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	15	41	23	3	1		1	28	35.3%	79
North Slave											
Rae-Edzo	Total Held	8	16						2		
	Wave 1 response	1	1	1	1						
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1	1	1				2	6.3%	32
Wha Ti	Total Held	4	11						5		
	Wave 1 response	3	10	4	1						
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1							100.0%	5
	Total Response	4	11	4	1				5		
Yellowknife	Total Held	540	1373						415		
	Wave 1 response	176	461	366	31	13	4	1	144		
	Wave 2 response	54	153	132	12				38		
	Wave 3 response	14	33	33	3	2			597	47.1%	1267
	Total Response	244	647	531	46	15	4	1			
North Slave	Total Held	552	1400						422		
	Wave 1 response	180	472	371	33	13	4	1	144		
	Wave 2 response	54	153	132	12				38		
	Wave 3 response	15	34	33	3	2			604	47.1%	1283
	Total Response	249	659	536	48	15	4	1			
Sahtu											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Deline	Total Held	1	3								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	3	3					3		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	3	3					3	100.0%	3
Fort Good Hope	Total Held	6	22								
	Wave 1 response	1	3	1					1		
	Wave 2 response	2	10	4	4				8		
	Wave 3 response	1	4	3	2				5		
	Total Response	4	17	8	6				14	77.3%	18
Norman Wells	Total Held	28	58								
	Wave 1 response	13	31	11	3				14		
	Wave 2 response	5	8								
	Wave 3 response	3	3								
	Total Response	21	42	11	3				14	72.4%	19
Tulita	Total Held	1	3								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	3	1					1		
	Total Response	1	3	1					1	100.0%	1
Sahtu Regional Totals	Total Held	36	86								
	Wave 1 response	14	34	12	3				15		
	Wave 2 response	8	21	7	4				11		
	Wave 3 response	5	10	4	2				6		
	Total Response	27	65	23	9				32	75.6%	42
South Great Slave											
Fort Reliance	Total Held	1	5								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	5		2	3			5		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	5		2	3			5	100.0%	5
Fort Smith	Total Held	14	56								
	Wave 1 response	4	18	17					17		
	Wave 2 response	3	15	10					10		
	Wave 3 response	1	3	3					3		
	Total Response	8	36	30					30	64.3%	47

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Hay River	Total Held	24	87								
	Wave 1 response	10	38	36	2				38		
	Wave 2 response	3	15	10					10		
	Wave 3 response	4	11	9					9		
	Total Response	17	64	55	2				57	73.6%	77
South Great Slave Regional Totals	Total Held	39	148								
	Wave 1 response	14	56	53	2				55		
	Wave 2 response	7	35	20	2	3			25		
	Wave 3 response	5	14	12					12		
	Total Response	26	105	85	4	3			92	70.9%	130
West Deh Cho Fort Liard	Total Held	3	12								
	Wave 1 response	3	12	9					9		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	12	9					9	100.0%	9
Fort Simpson	Total Held	8	34								
	Wave 1 response	5	21	21					21		
	Wave 2 response	1	5	3					3		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	26	24					24	76.5%	31
Wrigley	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
West Deh Cho Regional Totals	Total Held	12	47								
	Wave 1 response	8	33	30					30		
	Wave 2 response	2	6	3					3		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	10	39	33					33	83.0%	40
Barren-Ground Caribou NWT Totals 1996/97	Total Held	942	2570								
	Wave 1 response	324	922	609	85	36	14	11	755		
	Wave 2 response	118	350	233	29	5	7	7	274		
	Wave 3 response	47	116	77	12	6	1	1	97		
	Total Response	489	1388	919	126	47	15	19	1126	54.0%	2085

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97 **Black Bear**

Black Bear

Delta-ISR

Inuvik

	6	6
Total Held	6	6
Wave 1 response		
Wave 2 response	1	1
Wave 3 response		
Total Response	1	1

16.7%

Delta-ISR

Regional Totals

Total Held	6	6
Wave 1 response		
Wave 2 response	1	1
Wave 3 response		
Total Response	1	1

16.7%

North Slave

Yellowknife

Total Held	129	129
Wave 1 response	42	42
Wave 2 response	11	11
Wave 3 response	4	4
Total Response	57	57

23

North Slave

Regional Totals

Total Held	129	129
Wave 1 response	42	42
Wave 2 response	11	11
Wave 3 response	4	4
Total Response	57	57

23

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope

Total Held	3	3
Wave 1 response		
Wave 2 response	1	1
Wave 3 response	1	1
Total Response	2	2

66.7%

Norman Wells

Total Held	17	17
Wave 1 response	8	8
Wave 2 response	1	1
Wave 3 response	2	2
Total Response	11	11

64.7%

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Black Bear	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Sahtu											
	Total Held	20	20								
	Wave 1 response	8	8								
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response	3	3								
	Total Response	13	13							65.0%	
Regional Totals											
South Great Slave											
Fort Reliance											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1	1					1		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1	1					1	100.0%	
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	11	11								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response	1	1	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	5	5	1					1	45.5%	
Hay River											
	Total Held	14	14								
	Wave 1 response	3	3								
	Wave 2 response	3	3								
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	8	8							57.1%	
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	26	26								
	Wave 1 response	5	5								
	Wave 2 response	5	5	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	4	4								
	Total Response	14	14	2	2				2	53.8%	
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
West Deh Cho											
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1								

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Black Bear	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	11	11								
	Wave 1 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	6	1	1				1	54.5%	2
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	12	12								
	Wave 1 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	7	7	1	1				1	58.3%	2
Black Bear											
	Total Held	193	193								
	Wave 1 response	61	61	6					6		
	Wave 2 response	20	20	7					7		
	Wave 3 response	11	11								
	Total Response	92	92	13					13	47.7%	27

Dall's Sheep

Delta-ISR											
Inuvik											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
North Slave											
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	20	20								
	Wave 1 response	9	9	1					1		
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	11	11	1					1	55.0%	2

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Dall's Sheep	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
North Slave											
	Total Held	20	20						1		
	Wave 1 response	9	9	1							
Regional Totals											
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	11	11	1					1	55.0%	2
Sahtu											
Fort Good Hope											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	32	33						2		
	Wave 1 response	11	11	2					1		
	Wave 2 response	5	6	1							
	Wave 3 response	3	3						3	60.6%	5
	Total Response	19	20	3							
Sahtu											
	Total Held	34	35						2		
	Wave 1 response	12	12	2					1		
	Wave 2 response	5	6	1							
	Wave 3 response	3	3						3	60.0%	5
	Total Response	20	21	3							
South Great Slave											
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							100.0%	
Hay River											
	Total Held	8	8						1		
	Wave 1 response	3	3	1							
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response	2	2	1					1		
	Total Response	6	6	2					2	75.0%	3

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Dall's Sheep	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	10	10								
	Wave 1 response	5	5	1					1		
Regional Totals											
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response	2	2	1					1		
	Total Response	8	8	2					2	80.0%	3

West Deh Cho

Fort Simpson

Total Held	8	8									
Wave 1 response	4	4									
Wave 2 response	1	1									
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	5	5								62.5%	

West Deh Cho

Regional Totals

Total Held	8	8									
Wave 1 response	4	4									
Wave 2 response	1	1									
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	5	5								62.5%	

Dall's Sheep

NWT Totals

Total Held	73	74									
Wave 1 response	30	30		4					4		
Wave 2 response	9	10		1					1		
Wave 3 response	5	5		1					1		
Total Response	44	45		6					6	60.8%	10

Moose

Baffin

Iqaluit

Total Held	1	1									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response											

Baffin

Regional Totals

Total Held	1	1									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response											

Delta-ISR

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Inuvik											
	Total Held	47	47						2		
	Wave 1 response	13	13	2					2		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	2							
	Wave 3 response	4	4						4	48.9%	8
	Total Response	23	23	4							
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	47	47						2		
	Wave 1 response	13	13	2					2		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	2							
	Wave 3 response	4	4						4	48.9%	8
	Total Response	23	23	4							
Keewatin											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1							100.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
Keewatin Regional Totals											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1							100.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
North Slave											
	Total Held	9	9								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response									11.1%	
	Total Response	1	1								
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	603	607		26	2			28		
	Wave 1 response	160	160		7				7		
	Wave 2 response	53	54		4				4		
	Wave 3 response	24	24		37	2			39	39.2%	99
	Total Response	237	238								

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
North Slave		Total Held	612	616							
Regional Totals		Wave 1 response	161	26	2				28		
		Wave 2 response	53	7					7		
		Wave 3 response	24	4					4		
		Total Response	238	37	2				39	38.8%	101

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope

Total Held	6	6									
Wave 1 response	1	1									
Wave 2 response	1	1									
Wave 3 response	1	1									
Total Response	3	3								50.0%	

Norman Wells

Total Held	59	59									
Wave 1 response	26	26	6						6		
Wave 2 response	9	9	3						3		
Wave 3 response	4	4	3						3		
Total Response	39	39	12						12	66.1%	18

Tulita

Total Held	3	3									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response	1	1									
Total Response	1	1								33.3%	

Sahtu

Regional Totals

Total Held	68	68									
Wave 1 response	27	27	6						6		
Wave 2 response	10	10	3						3		
Wave 3 response	6	6	3						3		
Total Response	43	43	12						12	63.2%	19

South Great Slave

Enterprise

Total Held	4	4									
Wave 1 response	1	1									
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	1	1								25.0%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Reliance											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response								1		
	Wave 2 response	1	1	1							
	Wave 3 response									100.0%	1
	Total Response	1	1	1					1		
Fort Resolution											
	Total Held	4	4								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response									25.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	59	59		6				6		
	Wave 1 response	20	20								
	Wave 2 response	7	7								
	Wave 3 response	4	4						6	52.5%	11
	Total Response	31	31		6						
Hay River											
	Total Held	153	153						14		
	Wave 1 response	52	52	14					4		
	Wave 2 response	25	25	4					2		
	Wave 3 response	12	12	2					20	58.2%	34
	Total Response	89	89	20							
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	221	221						20		
	Wave 1 response	73	73	20					5		
	Wave 2 response	34	34	5					2		
	Wave 3 response	16	16	2					27	55.7%	49
	Total Response	123	123	27							
West Deh Cho											
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	12	13								
	Wave 1 response	6	7	3					3		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	7	8	3					3	61.5%	5
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							66.7%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	57	57						8		
	Wave 1 response	25	25	8					3		
	Wave 2 response	8	8	3							
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	34	34	11					11	59.6%	18
Nahanni Butte											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Trout Lake											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Wrigley											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	2	2	1					1		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	1					1	100.0%	1
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	76	77								
	Wave 1 response	32	33	11					11		
	Wave 2 response	13	13	4					4		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	46	47	15					15	61.0%	25
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	1026	1031								
	Wave 1 response	306	307	65	2				67		
	Wave 2 response	116	117	21					21		
	Wave 3 response	52	52	9					9		
	Total Response	474	476	95	2				97	46.2%	210
Small Game											
Baffin											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Cape Dorset											
	Total Held	9	9								
	Wave 1 response	4	4	54	5	2	42		103		
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	6	54	5	2	42		103	66.7%	155
Hall Beach											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response			3					3		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response			3					3		
Igloodik											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response			2					2		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	1	1	2					2	33.3%	6
Iqaluit											
	Total Held	94	94								
	Wave 1 response	41	41	96					96		
	Wave 2 response	12	12	35					35		
	Wave 3 response	7	7	8					8		
	Total Response	60	60	139					139	63.8%	218
Kimmirut											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1	7	7	8			22		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1	7	7	8			22	100.0%	22
Nanisivik											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	2	2	4					4		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	4					4	100.0%	4
Baffin											
	Total Held	110	110								
	Wave 1 response	48	48	161	12	10	42		225		
	Wave 2 response	14	14	40					40		
	Wave 3 response	8	8	8					8		
	Total Response	70	70	209	12	10	42		273	63.6%	429

Delta-ISR

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort McPherson											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1	60					60		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1	60					60	100.0%	60
Inuvik											
	Total Held	56	56								
	Wave 1 response	16	16	83	48	3			134		
	Wave 2 response	7	7	85					85		
	Wave 3 response	4	4	6	6				12		
	Total Response	27	27	174	54	3			231	48.2%	479
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	57	57								
	Wave 1 response	16	16	83	48	3			134		
	Wave 2 response	8	8	145					145		
	Wave 3 response	4	4	6	6				12		
	Total Response	28	28	234	54	3			291	49.1%	592
Keewatin											
Arviat											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response	3	3	54					54		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4	54					54	80.0%	68
Baker Lake											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response			35					35		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response			35					35		
Rankin Inlet											
	Total Held	12	12								
	Wave 1 response	2	2	2	2	8			12		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	8	3	5	3		19		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	5	5	10	5	13	3		31	41.7%	74
Keewatin											
	Total Held	18	18								
	Wave 1 response	5	5	91	2	8			101		
	Wave 2 response	3	3	8	3	5	3		19		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	9	9	99	5	13	3		120	50.0%	240

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Kitikmeot											
Cambridge Bay											
	Total Held	6	6								
	Wave 1 response								1		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	1							
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	1					1	33.3%	3
Kugluktuk											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1	6					6		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1	6					6	100.0%	6
Kitikmeot Regional Totals											
	Total Held	7	7								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	3	3	7					7		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	7					7	42.9%	16
North Slave											
Rae-Edzo											
	Total Held	12	12								
	Wave 1 response	1	1	2		2	4	5	13		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	2		2	4	5	13	16.7%	78
Wha Ti											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1	5		2			7		
	Total Response	2	2	5		2			7	100.0%	7
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	808	808								
	Wave 1 response	222	222	1572		207	48	21	1848		
	Wave 2 response	71	71	493		99	68	18	678		
	Wave 3 response	32	32	169					169		
	Total Response	325	325	2234		306	116	39	2695	40.2%	6700

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
North Slave											
	Total Held	822	822								
	Wave 1 response	224	224	1574	209	52	26		1861		
	Wave 2 response	72	72	493	99	68	18		678		
	Wave 3 response	33	33	174	2				176		
	Total Response	329	329	2241	310	120	44		2715	40.0%	6783
Regional Totals											
Sahtu											
Deline											
	Total Held	4	4								
	Wave 1 response	1	1	42					42		
	Wave 2 response	1	1	30					30		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	72					72	50.0%	144
Fort Good Hope											
	Total Held	6	6								
	Wave 1 response	1	1	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	1	1	5	6	14	10		35		
	Wave 3 response	1	1	48					48		
	Total Response	3	3	58	6	14	10		88	50.0%	176
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	49	49								
	Wave 1 response	21	21	221	33	11	4		269		
	Wave 2 response	10	10	55	20				75		
	Wave 3 response	3	3	55					55		
	Total Response	34	34	331	53	11	4		399	69.4%	575
Tulita											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1	7					7		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	2	2	7					7	40.0%	18
Sahtu											
	Total Held	64	64								
	Wave 1 response	23	23	268	33	11	4		316		
	Wave 2 response	13	13	97	26	14	10		147		
	Wave 3 response	5	5	103					103		
	Total Response	41	41	468	59	25	14		566	64.1%	884
Regional Totals											

South Great Slave

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Reliance											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response								10		
	Wave 2 response	1	1	10							
	Wave 3 response									100.0%	10
	Total Response	1	1	10					10		
Fort Resolution											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	2	2							66.7%	
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	84	84						243		
	Wave 1 response	28	28	223	20				177		
	Wave 2 response	13	13	177					31		
	Wave 3 response	4	4	31		20			451	53.6%	842
	Total Response	45	45	431							
Hay River											
	Total Held	180	180						732		
	Wave 1 response	60	60	604	120	8			273		
	Wave 2 response	28	28	241	32				104		
	Wave 3 response	13	13	104					1109	56.1%	1976
	Total Response	101	101	949	152	8					
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	268	268						975		
	Wave 1 response	88	88	827	140	8			460		
	Wave 2 response	43	43	428	32				135		
	Wave 3 response	18	18	135					1570	55.6%	2824
	Total Response	149	149	1390	172	8					
West Deh Cho											
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	12	12						28		
	Wave 1 response	5	5	28					6		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	3	3						
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	7	7	31	3				34	58.3%	58
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	3	3	30	48				78		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	30	48				78	60.0%	130

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	63	63								
	Wave 1 response	28	28	231	98				329		
	Wave 2 response	9	9	74	9				83		
	Wave 3 response	2	2	50					50		
	Total Response	39	39	355	107				462	61.9%	746
Wrigley											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	2	2	22					22		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	22					22	100.0%	22
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	82	82								
	Wave 1 response	33	33	259	98				357		
	Wave 2 response	16	16	129	60				189		
	Wave 3 response	2	2	50					50		
	Total Response	51	51	438	158				596	62.2%	958
Small Game											
	Total Held	1428	1428								
	Wave 1 response	437	437	3263	542	92	72		3969		
	Wave 2 response	172	172	1347	220	87	31		1685		
	Wave 3 response	71	71	476	8				484		
	Total Response	680	680	5086	770	179	103		6138	47.6%	12890
Wolf											
Baffin											
Igloodik											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Iqaluit											
	Total Held	6	8								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	2	2							25.0%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Wolf	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Pond Inlet											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Baffin											
	Total Held	8	10								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	4	4							40.0%	
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	3	3								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4							80.0%	
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	3	3								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4							80.0%	
Keewatin											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1	1					1		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1	1	1				1	50.0%	2
Rankin Inlet											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							33.3%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Wolf		licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Keewatin												
		Total Held	5	5						1		
		Wave 1 response	2	2	1							
		Wave 2 response										
		Wave 3 response										
		Total Response	2	2	1					1	40.0%	3
Regional Totals												
Kitikmeot												
Cambridge Bay												
		Total Held	2	2								
		Wave 1 response										
		Wave 2 response	1	1								
		Wave 3 response										
		Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
Gjoa Haven												
		Total Held	1	1								
		Wave 1 response	1	1								
		Wave 2 response										
		Wave 3 response										
		Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Kitikmeot												
		Total Held	3	3								
		Wave 1 response	1	1								
		Wave 2 response	1	1								
		Wave 3 response										
		Total Response	2	2							66.7%	
North Slave												
Yellowknife												
		Total Held	128	156								
		Wave 1 response	44	52	25					25		
		Wave 2 response	11	11	4					4		
		Wave 3 response	4	4	1					1		
		Total Response	59	67	30					30	42.9%	70
North Slave												
		Total Held	128	156								
		Wave 1 response	44	52	25					25		
		Wave 2 response	11	11	4					4		
		Wave 3 response	4	4	1					1		
		Total Response	59	67	30					30	42.9%	70
Sahtu												

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Wolf	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Good Hope											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							66.7%	
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	18	20		2				2		
	Wave 1 response	8	10								
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	12	14	2	2				2	70.0%	3
Tulita											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Sahtu											
	Total Held	22	24		2				2		
	Wave 1 response	9	11								
	Wave 2 response	3	3								
	Wave 3 response	3	3								
	Total Response	15	17		2				2	70.8%	3
South Great Slave											
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							66.7%	
Hay River											
	Total Held	7	8								
	Wave 1 response	4	4	1					1		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	5	5	1	1				1	62.5%	2
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	10	11								
	Wave 1 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	7	7		1				1	63.6%	2

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Wolf	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
---------	------	----------	------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------------------------

West Deh Cho

Fort Liard

Total Held	2	2									
Wave 1 response	1	1									
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	1	1								50.0%	

Fort Simpson

Total Held	11	11									
Wave 1 response	4	4									
Wave 2 response	1	1									
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	5	5								45.5%	

West Deh Cho

Regional Totals

Total Held	13	13									
Wave 1 response	5	5									
Wave 2 response	1	1									
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	6	6								46.2%	

Wolf

NWT Totals

Total Held	194	227									
Wave 1 response	69	79		29					29		
Wave 2 response	20	20		4					4		
Wave 3 response	10	10		1					1		
Total Response	99	109		34					34		71

Wolverine

Delta-ISR

Inuvik

Total Held	1	1									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response											

Delta-ISR

Regional Totals

Total Held	1	1									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response											

Keewatin

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Wolverine	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Arviat											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Rankin Inlet											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Keewatin											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
North Slave											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Wolverine	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	59	69								
	Wave 1 response	26	32	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	4	4	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	32	38	7					7	55.1%	13
North Slave											
	Total Held	59	69								
	Wave 1 response	26	32	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	4	4	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	32	38	7					7	55.1%	13

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope

Total Held	3	3									
Wave 1 response	1	1									
Wave 2 response	1	1									
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	2	2								66.7%	

Norman Wells

Total Held	8	9									
Wave 1 response	5	6									
Wave 2 response	1	1									
Wave 3 response	1	1									
Total Response	7	8								88.9%	

Tulita

Total Held	1	1									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response	1	1									
Total Response	1	1								100.0%	

Sahtu

Regional Totals

Total Held	12	13									
Wave 1 response	6	7									
Wave 2 response	2	2									
Wave 3 response	2	2									
Total Response	10	11								84.6%	

South Great Slave

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Wolverine	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Reliance											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Hay River											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
West Deh Cho											
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							66.7%	
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	4	4								
	Wave 1 response	3	3								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3							75.0%	
Wolverine											
	Total Held	83	94								
	Wave 1 response	36	43	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	7	7	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	4	4								
	Total Response	47	54	7	7				7	57.4%	12

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Woodland Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Woodland Caribou											
Delta-ISR											
Inuvik		1	1								
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Delta-ISR											
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
North Slave											
Rae-Edzo		2	2								
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	277	277								
	Wave 1 response	70	70	8					8		
	Wave 2 response	23	23	3					3		
	Wave 3 response	9	9	1					1		
	Total Response	102	102	12					12	36.8%	33
North Slave											
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	279	279								
	Wave 1 response	70	70	8					8		
	Wave 2 response	23	23	3					3		
	Wave 3 response	9	9	1					1		
	Total Response	102	102	12					12	36.6%	33
Sahtu											
Fort Good Hope		3	3								
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							66.7%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Woodland Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	38	39								
	Wave 1 response	14	14	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	7	8								
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	23	24	5					5	61.5%	8
Tulita											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Sahtu											
	Total Held	42	43								
	Wave 1 response	15	15	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	8	9								
	Wave 3 response	3	3								
	Total Response	26	27	5					5	62.8%	8
South Great Slave											
Enterprise											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response									20.0%	
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1								
Hay River											
	Total Held	96	96								
	Wave 1 response	34	34	6					6		
	Wave 2 response	16	16								
	Wave 3 response	10	10								
	Total Response	60	60	6					6	62.5%	10
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	104	104								
	Wave 1 response	35	35	6					6		
	Wave 2 response	16	16								
	Wave 3 response	10	10								
	Total Response	61	61	6					6	58.7%	10

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1996/97	Woodland Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
West Deh Cho											
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response	3	3								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3							60.0%	
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	2	2	1					1		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	1					1	100.0%	1
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	44	44								
	Wave 1 response	18	18		2				2		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	25	25	3					3	56.8%	5
Trout Lake											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Wrigley											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							100.0%	
West Deh Cho Regional Totals											
	Total Held	54	54								
	Wave 1 response	22	22	2					2		
	Wave 2 response	10	10	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	33	33	4					4	61.1%	7
Woodland Caribou NWT Totals 1996/97											
	Total Held	480	481								
	Wave 1 response	142	142	21					21		
	Wave 2 response	57	58	5					5		
	Wave 3 response	23	23	1					1		
	Total Response	222	223	27					27	46.4%	58

APPENDIX 4

Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported and Estimated Kill

1996/97 Small Game	Reported							Estimated						
	Hunts	Kills	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare	Reply Rate	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare	
1996/97														
Small Game														
Baffin														
Cape Dorset	10	103				70	33	0.667				105	49	
Hall Beach	1	3				1	2	1.000				1	2	
Igloodik	1	2				2		0.333				6		
Iqaluit	21	139				119	20	0.638				186	31	
Kimmirut	3	22				22		1.000				22		
Nanisivik	1	4					4	1.000					4	
Regional total	37	273				214	59	0.636				336	93	
Delta-ISR														
Fort McPherson	1	60	45			15		1.000	45			15		
Inuvik	19	231	71	6	22	84	48	0.482	147	12	46	174	100	
Regional total	20	291	116	6	22	99	48	0.491	236	12	45	202	98	
Keewatin														
Arviat	2	54				50	4	0.800				63	5	
Baker Lake	1	35				20	15	1.000				20	15	
Rankin Inlet	7	31				12	19	0.417				29	46	
Regional total	10	120				82	38	0.500				164	76	
Kitikmeot														
Cambridge Bay	1	1				1		0.333				3		
Kugluktuk	1	6				6		1.000				6		
Regional total	2	7				7		0.429				16		
North Slave														

Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported and Estimated Kill

1996/97 Small Game	Reported						Estimated						
	Hunts	Kills	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare	Reply Rate	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare
Rae-Edzo	4	13	3		1	9		0.167	18		6	54	
Wha Ti	2	7	5			2		1.000	5			2	
Yellowknife	209	2695	731	253	136	1360	215	0.402	1817	629	338	3381	535
Regional total	215	2715	739	253	137	1371	215	0.400	1846	632	342	3425	537
Sahtu													
Deline	2	72	27	10	5	30		0.500	54	20	10	60	
Fort Good Hope	6	88	24	4	17	39	4	0.500	48	8	34	78	8
Norman Wells	28	399	71	30	130	162	6	0.694	102	43	187	233	9
Tulita	1	7				7		0.400				18	
Regional total	37	566	122	44	152	238	10	0.641	190	69	237	372	16
South Great Slave													
Fort Reliance	1	10	5			5		1.000	5			5	
Fort Resolution	1	0						0.667					
Fort Smith	22	451	212	116	9	78	36	0.536	396	217	17	146	67
Hay River	60	1109	495	161	185	209	59	0.561	882	287	330	372	105
Regional total	84	1570	712	277	194	292	95	0.556	1281	498	349	525	171
West Deh Cho													
Fort Liard	4	34	17	17				0.583	29	29			
Fort Providence	3	78	57	4	12	5		0.600	95	7	20	8	
Fort Simpson	30	462	229	126	59	37	11	0.619	370	204	95	60	18
Wrigley	1	22	10	5	5		2	1.000	10	5	5		2
Regional total	38	596	313	152	76	42	13	0.622	503	244	122	68	21
NWT total for 1996/97	443	6138	2002	732	581	2345	478	0.476	4204	1537	1220	4925	1004

APPENDIX 5

Waterfowl Harvest Reported by Community Respondents

1996/97 Waterfowl	Hunts	Kills	Mallard	Pintail	Scaup	Teal	Wigeon	Other Ducks	Snow Geese	Canada Geese	White Fronted	Other Geese
Regional total	5	91	18	7	17	2	2	20	7	8	9	1
South Great Slave												
Fort Resolution	1	49						49				
Fort Smith	3	3						3				
Hay River	19	138	63	24	15	13	7	7		4	4	1
Regional total	23	190	63	24	15	13	7	59		4	4	1
West Deh Cho												
Fort Providence	2	17	5	3	2		7					
Fort Simpson	2	9				6		3				
Regional total	4	26	5	3	2	6	7	3				
NWT total for 1996/97	107	1091	286	78	137	75	103	224	85	58	39	8

APPENDIX 6

Waterfowl Hunting, Estimates of Permits, Response and Harvest

1996/97 Waterfowl	Resident Hunters with Migratory Bird Permits	% that Hunted	Reported Harvest		Reply Rate	Estimated Harvest		
			Ducks	Geese		Ducks	Geese	
Waterfowl								
Baffin								
Cape Dorset	2	100%	5	37	0.667	8	56	
Iqaluit	2				0.620			
Regional total	3	50%	5	37	0.623	8	59	
Delta-ISR								
Inuvik	15	38%	10	0	0.521	19	0	
Regional total	15	38%	10	0	0.521	19	0	
Keewatin								
Arviat	3	100%	17	86	0.700	24	123	
Coral Harbour	5	100%	4	12	0.200	20	60	
Rankin Inlet	2	100%	6	14	0.452	13	31	
Regional total	8	100%	27	112	0.478	56	234	
Kitikmeot								
Cambridge Bay	8	50%	0	0	0.250	0	0	
Regional total	8	50%	0	0	0.250	0	0	
North Slave								
Wha Ti	1	100%	4	0	1.000	4	0	
Yellowknife	153	57%	584	5	0.400	1461	13	
Regional total	154	58%	588	5	0.402	1463	12	
Sahtu								
Deline	2	100%	12	3	0.500	24	6	
Fort Good Hope	5	67%	52	15	0.571	91	26	
Norman Wells	6	25%	2	7	0.654	3	11	
Regional total	12	50%	66	25	0.640	103	39	
South Great Slave								
Fort Resolution	3	100%	49	0	0.333	147	0	
Fort Smith	11	17%	3	0	0.538	6	0	
Hay River	39	36%	129	9	0.566	228	16	
Regional total	52	34%	181	9	0.553	328	16	
West Deh Cho								
Fort Providence	1	100%	17	0	0.714	24	0	
Fort Simpson	8	40%	9	0	0.629	14	0	
Regional total	9	50%	26	0	0.636	41	0	
NWT total for 1996/97	258	51%	903	188	0.468	1928	401	

[Illegible header text]

[Illegible body text]

[Illegible body text]

[Illegible body text]

[Illegible body text]

[Illegible body text]

APPENDIX 7

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1996/97

Barren-Ground Caribou

1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------

1996/97

Barren-Ground Caribou

Baffin

Cape Dorset	6	2.8	4	5.3	4	5.0	1	1.0	1	2.0		3.8
Clyde River	2	2.0										2.0
Hall Beach	1	5.0										5.0
Igloolik	2	1.5	2	1.0	1	1.0						1.2
Iqaluit	60	2.3	23	1.1	12	1.0	8	1.0	5	1.0		1.8
Kimmirut	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0		1.0
Nanisivik	3	2.7	1	3.0								2.8
Pangnirtung	3	1.3										1.3
Pond Inlet	4	1.8	1	2.0								1.8
Regional summary	82	2.3	32	1.7	18	1.9	10	1.0	7	1.2		2.0

Delta-ISR

Fort McPherson	1	1.0										1.0
Inuvik	31	1.9	5	1.0	1	1.0						1.7
Tuktoyaktuk	1	1.0										1.0
Regional summary	33	1.8	5	1.0	1	1.0						1.7

Keewatin

Arviat	6	2.2	4	1.3	2	1.0						1.8
Baker Lake	2	3.5										3.5
Coral Harbour	1	2.0	1	1.0								1.5
Rankin Inlet	7	1.4	3	2.3	1	2.0	1	1.0	1	2.0		1.7
Regional summary	16	2.0	8	1.7	3	1.5	1	1.0	1	2.0		1.8

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	6	1.3	1	1.0								1.3
Gjoa Haven	1	5.0										5.0
Kugluktuk	2	1.0										1.0
Pelly Bay	1	2.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	3.0	1	1.0		1.6
Taloyoak	2	2.0										2.0
Regional summary	12	1.8	2	1.0	1	1.0	1	3.0	1	1.0		1.6

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	1	2.0	1	1.0								1.5
Wha Ti	2	1.0	1	2.0								1.3
Yellowknife	263	2.4	35	2.2	10	1.6	3	1.0	2	1.0		2.3
Regional summary	266	2.4	37	2.2	10	1.6	3	1.0	2	1.0		2.3

Sahtu

Deline	1	3.0										3.0
Fort Good Hope	4	4.5	3	2.3	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	2.0		3.4

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1996/97	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Barren-Ground Caribou													
Norman Wells	6	5.8	1	7.0									6.0
Tulita	1	9.0											9.0
Regional summary	12	5.3	4	3.5	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	2.0			4.5
South Great Slave													
Fort Reliance	1	1.0	1	4.0	1	1.0							2.0
Fort Reliance	1	1.0	1	4.0	1	1.0							2.0
Fort Smith	9	2.3											2.3
Fort Smith	9	2.3											2.3
Hay River	18	1.7	1	3.0									1.7
Regional summary	38	1.9	3	3.7	2	1.0							2.0
West Deh Cho													
Fort Liard	3	4.0											4.0
Fort Simpson	9	2.0	2	1.5									1.9
Regional summary	12	2.4	2	1.5									2.3
NWT Barren-Ground Caribou, 1996/97	471	2.3	93	2.0	36	1.7	16	1.3	12	1.3			2.2

Black Bear

North Slave													
Yellowknife	26	3.2	2	2.0									3.1
Regional summary	26	3.2	2	2.0									3.1
Sahtu													
Fort Good Hope	1												
Norman Wells	3	2.0											2.0
Regional summary	4	2.0											2.0
South Great Slave													
Fort Reliance	1	1.0											1.0
Fort Smith	2	12.0											12.0
Hay River	5	5.0	1	10.0									6.0
Regional summary	8	5.5	1	10.0									6.1
West Deh Cho													
Fort Providence	1												
Fort Simpson	2	1.0											1.0
Regional summary	3	1.0											1.0
NWT Black Bear, 1996/97	41	3.7	3	4.7									3.8

Dall's Sheep

North Slave													
Yellowknife	7	7.7											7.7
Regional summary	7	7.7											7.7
Sahtu													

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1996/97	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Dall's Sheep													
Fort Good Hope	1	8.0											8.0
Norman Wells	14	5.4	1	3.0									5.2
Regional summary	15	5.7	1	3.0									5.5
South Great Slave													
Fort Smith	1	10.0	1										10.0
Hay River	4	4.8											4.8
Regional summary	5	5.8	1										5.8
West Deh Cho													
Fort Simpson	3	4.0											4.0
Regional summary	3	4.0											4.0
NWT Dall's Sheep, 1996/97	30	5.9	2	3.0									5.8
Moose													
Delta-ISR													
Inuvik	13	3.6											3.6
Regional summary	13	3.6											3.6
Keewatin													
Rankin Inlet	2	1.0											1.0
Regional summary	2	1.0											1.0
North Slave													
Rae-Edzo	1	1.0	1	1.0									1.0
Yellowknife	162	4.4	16	2.5	4	3.0							4.3
Regional summary	163	4.4	17	2.4	4	3.0							4.2
Sahtu													
Fort Good Hope	4	5.3	1										5.3
Norman Wells	31	3.5	4	1.8									3.3
Regional summary	35	3.8	5	1.8									3.5
South Great Slave													
Fort Reliance	1	2.0											2.0
Fort Resolution	1												
Fort Smith	24	5.2	2	1.0									4.9
Hay River	54	3.6	6	4.8	1	5.0							3.8
Regional summary	80	4.0	8	4.3	1	5.0							4.1
West Deh Cho													
Fort Liard	6	3.5	1										3.5
Fort Providence	1												
Fort Simpson	25	4.8	2	4.0	1	2.0							4.7
Trout Lake	1												
Wrigley	1	2.0											2.0

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1996/97 Moose	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Regional summary	34	4.5	3	4.0	1	2.0							4.4
NWT Moose, 1996/97	327	4.2	33	2.9	6	3.3							4.1

Small Game

Baffin

Cape Dorset	4		3		2		1
Hall Beach	1						
Igloolik	1						
Iqaluit	21						
Kimmirut	1		1		1		
Nanisivik	1						

Regional summary

29		4		3		1
----	--	---	--	---	--	---

Delta-ISR

Fort McPherson	1					
Inuvik	14		4		1	

Regional summary

15		4		1
----	--	---	--	---

Keewatin

Arviat	2					
Baker Lake	1					
Rankin Inlet	2		2		2	1

Regional summary

5		2		2		1
---	--	---	--	---	--	---

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	1					
Kugluktuk	1					

Regional summary

2

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	1		1		1		1
Wha Ti	1		1				
Yellowknife	159		34		10		6

Regional summary

161		36		11		7
-----	--	----	--	----	--	---

Sahtu

Deline	2					
Fort Good Hope	3		1		1	1
Norman Wells	20		4		2	2
Tulita	1					

Regional summary

26		5		3		3
----	--	---	--	---	--	---

South Great Slave

Fort Reliance	1					
Fort Resolution	1					
Fort Smith	21		1			

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1996/97	1st	Avg	2nd	Avg	3rd	Avg	4th	Avg	5th	Avg	6th	Avg	Grand
Small Game	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	Avg
Hay River	50		9		1								
Regional summary	73		10		1								
West Deh Cho													
Fort Liard	3		1										
Fort Providence	2		1										
Fort Simpson	24		6										
Wrigley	1												
Regional summary	30		8										
NWT Small Game, 1996/97	341		69		21		12						
Waterfowl													
Baffin													
Cape Dorset	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0							5.0
Regional summary	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0							5.0
Delta-ISR													
Inuvik	3	2.3											2.3
Regional summary	3	2.3											2.3
Keewatin													
Arviat	2	10.0											10.0
Coral Harbour	1	3.0											3.0
Rankin Inlet	1	6.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0			2.0
Regional summary	4	6.3	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0			3.3
Kitikmeot													
Cambridge Bay	1												
Regional summary	1												
North Slave													
Wha Ti	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0							1.0
Yellowknife	37	3.8	10	3.7	5	1.4	2	1.0	2	1.0	1	2.0	3.3
Regional summary	38	3.7	11	3.5	6	1.3	2	1.0	2	1.0	1	2.0	3.2
Sahtu													
Deline	1	7.0											7.0
Fort Good Hope	3	20.5											20.5
Norman Wells	1	4.0											4.0
Regional summary	5	13.0											13.0
South Great Slave													
Fort Resolution	1												
Fort Smith	3												
Hay River	15	4.5	3	4.0	1	1.0							4.0
Regional summary	19	4.5	3	4.0	1	1.0							4.0

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1996/97 Waterfowl	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
West Deh Cho													
Fort Providence	1	12.0	1	6.0									9.0
Fort Simpson	2	3.0											3.0
Regional summary	3	7.5	1	6.0									7.0
NWT Waterfowl, 1996/97	74	4.7	17	3.6	9	1.7	3	1.0	3	1.0	1	2.0	3.9

Wolf

Baffin													
Iqaluit	2												
Pond Inlet	1												
Regional summary	3												
Delta-ISR													
Inuvik	1												
Regional summary	1												
Keewatin													
Arviat	2												
Regional summary	2												
Kitikmeot													
Gjoa Haven	1												
Regional summary	1												
North Slave													
Yellowknife	45		2										
Regional summary	45		2										
Sahtu													
Fort Good Hope	1												
Norman Wells	1												
Regional summary	2												
South Great Slave													
Hay River	6												
Regional summary	6												
West Deh Cho													
Fort Simpson	2												
Regional summary	2												
NWT Wolf, 1996/97	62		2										

Wolverine

Kitikmeot													
Gjoa Haven	1												
Regional summary	1												

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1996/97	1st	Avg	2nd	Avg	3rd	Avg	4th	Avg	5th	Avg	6th	Avg	Grand
Wolverine	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	hunt	days	Avg
North Slave													
Yellowknife	26												
Regional summary	26												
Sahtu													
Fort Good Hope	1												
Norman Wells	2												
Regional summary	3												
South Great Slave													
Fort Reliance	1												
Regional summary	1												
NWT Wolverine, 1996/97	31												
Woodland Caribou													
North Slave													4.4
Yellowknife	63	4.5	4	3.5									4.4
Regional summary	63	4.5	4	3.5									
Sahtu													5.5
Fort Good Hope	2	5.5											6.2
Norman Wells	13	6.2											6.1
Regional summary	15	6.1											
South Great Slave													
Fort Smith	2												4.9
Hay River	18	4.7	2	6.5									4.9
Regional summary	20	4.7	2	6.5									
West Deh Cho													3.0
Fort Providence	2	3.0											4.0
Fort Simpson	16	4.0											3.9
Regional summary	18	3.9											4.6
NWT Woodland Caribou, 1996/97	116	4.6	6	4.5									

APPENDIX 8

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1996/97

Barren-Ground Caribou

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Males

Females

Juvs

1996/97

Barren-Ground Caribou

Baffin

Cape Dorset	N/BC/09	15	33	29	4	
Clyde River	N/BC/05	2	2		2	
Hall Beach	N/BC/08	1	0			
Igloolik	N/BC/05	4	6	5	1	
	N/BC/08	1	1	1		
Iqaluit	N/BC/05	1	0			
	N/BC/09	105	171	106	54	11
	R/BC/09	1	1		1	
Kimmirut	N/BC/09	5	5	5		
Nanisivik	N	1	0			
	N/BC/05	3	0			
Pangnirtung	N	1	2	2		
	N/BC/09	2	5	5		
Pond Inlet	N/BC/05	5	11	6	5	

Delta-ISR

Inuvik	G/BC/01	7	9	4	5	
	G/BC/02	4	4	2	2	
	V/BC/05	7	8	4	3	1
	V/BC/06	12	19	6	9	4
	R/BC/01	1	5	2	3	
Tuktoyaktuk	V/BC/06	1	1		1	

Keewatin

Arviat	N/BC/11	12	20	3	13	4
Baker Lake	N/BC/10	2	7	3	3	1
Coral Harbour	N/BC/12	2	4	2	1	1
Rankin Inlet	N/BC/11	12	14	5	5	4
	R/BC/01	1	3	1	2	

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	N	1	1		1	
	N/BC/03	6	12	2	9	1
Gjoa Haven	N/BC/08	1	5	1	4	
Kugluktuk	N/BC/06	2	3	1	1	1
Pelly Bay	N/BC/08	5	3	1	1	1
Taloyoak	N/BC/04	2	4	1	3	

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	R/BC/01	2	2	1	1	
----------	---------	---	---	---	---	--

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1996/97
Barren-Ground Caribou

	Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills	Males	Females	Juvs
Wha Ti	R/BC/01	3	5	5		
Yellowknife	N/BC/07	1	1	1		
	R	4	6	6		
	R/BC/01	285	576	275	259	42
	U/BC/01	1	2		1	1

Sahtu

Deline	S/BC/01	1	3		3	
Fort Good Hope	N/BC/08	1	3		1	2
	S/BC/01	1	2	2		
	S/BC/02	6	8	2	2	4
Norman Wells	S/BC/01	4	11	5	5	1
Tulita	G/BC/02	1	1	1		

South Great Slave

Fort Reliance	U/BC/01	3	5	2	3	
Fort Smith	N/BC/03	1	2			2
	R/BC/01	4	18	10	7	1
	U	1	3		3	
	U/BC/01	2	7	5	1	1
Hay River	N/BC/09	1	1	1		
	R/BC/01	14	47	35	12	
	U/BC/01	4	9	3	6	

West Deh Cho

Fort Liard	D	1	0			
	R/BC/01	2	9	1	4	4
Fort Simpson	R/BC/01	10	24	13	9	2

Moose

Delta-ISR

Inuvik	G	1	0			
	G/BC/01	1	1	1		
	G/BC/02	3	2	2		
	I/BC/05	1	1	1		
	S/BC/02	1	0			

Keewatin

Rankin Inlet	R/BC/01	1	0			
--------------	---------	---	---	--	--	--

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	R / D	1	0			
	R/BC/01	1	0			
Yellowknife	D	44	8	4	3	1

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1996/97 Moose	Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills	Males	Females	Juvs
Yellowknife	D / R	1	0			
	D / U	1	0			
	D/OT/02	1	1	1		
	R	5	0			
	R / D	5	0			
	R/BC/01	68	25	18	5	2
	S	1	1	1		
	S/OT/03	2	2	2		
	U	1	0			
	U / R	1	0			
	U/BC/01	2	0			
Sahtu	Fort Good Hope S/BC/02	4	0			
	Norman Wells S	16	5	4		1
	S/BC/01	5	3	2	1	
	S/BC/02	4	2	1	1	
	S/OT/01	1	0			
	S/OT/02	3	2	1	1	
South Great Slave	Fort Reliance U/BC/01	1	1	1		
	Fort Resolution U	1	0			
	Fort Smith D	5	1	1		
	U	5	1		1	
	U/BC/01	9	4	2	2	
	Hay River D	39	15	13	2	
	D / U	1	0			
	D/OT/02	1	0			
	R/BC/01	2	1	1		
	U	4	2	1		1
	U/BC/01	4	1		1	
West Deh Cho	Fort Liard D	6	3	1	1	1
	Fort Simpson D	18	9	7	1	1
	D/OT/01	1	1		1	
	R/BC/01	1	0			
	S/BC/01	2	1	1		
	Wrigley D	1	1	1		

Woodland Caribou

North Slave

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1996/97

Woodland Caribou

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Males

Females

Juvs

Yellowknife

D

26

4

3

1

D / U

1

1

1

D/OT/02

1

1

1

R

5

1

1

R / D

2

0

R/BC/01

9

1

1

S

1

1

1

S/OT/03

2

2

2

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope

S/BC/02

1

0

S/OT/02

1

0

Norman Wells

S

5

2

1

1

S/OT/02

4

2

2

S/OT/03

1

1

1

South Great Slave

Hay River

D

15

5

3

2

S

1

1

1

U

1

0

U/BC/01

1

0

West Deh Cho

Fort Providence

D

1

1

1

Fort Simpson

D

10

2

2

D/OT/02

1

0

S/BC/01

2

0



1. The first part of the document is a list of the names of the people who were present at the meeting. The names are listed in alphabetical order.

2. The second part of the document is a list of the topics that were discussed at the meeting. The topics are listed in alphabetical order.

3. The third part of the document is a list of the actions that were taken at the meeting. The actions are listed in alphabetical order.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of the decisions that were made at the meeting. The decisions are listed in alphabetical order.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of the recommendations that were made at the meeting. The recommendations are listed in alphabetical order.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of the conclusions that were reached at the meeting. The conclusions are listed in alphabetical order.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of the next steps that will be taken. The next steps are listed in alphabetical order.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the actions that were taken at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the decisions that were made at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the recommendations that were made at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the conclusions that were reached at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the next steps that will be taken. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

13. The thirteenth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the actions that were taken at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

14. The fourteenth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the decisions that were made at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

15. The fifteenth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the recommendations that were made at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

16. The sixteenth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the conclusions that were reached at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

17. The seventeenth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the next steps that will be taken. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

18. The eighteenth part of the document is a list of the people who were responsible for the actions that were taken at the meeting. The people are listed in alphabetical order.

APPENDIX 9

Management Areas Hunted for Black Bear by Community Respondents

1996/97

Black Bear

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Boars

Sows

1996/97

Black Bear

North Slave

Yellowknife

D	8	4	3	1
R	1	1	1	
R/D	2	0		
R/BC/01	6	3	1	2

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope

S/BC/02	1	0		
---------	---	---	--	--

Norman Wells

S/BC/02	1	0		
---------	---	---	--	--

South Great Slave

Fort Reliance

U/BC/01	1	1	1	
---------	---	---	---	--

Fort Smith

U	1	1		1
---	---	---	--	---

Hay River

D	3	0		
---	---	---	--	--

U	1	0		
---	---	---	--	--

West Deh Cho

Fort Simpson

D	1	1	1	
---	---	---	---	--

APPENDIX 10

Management Areas Hunted for Sheep by Community Respondents

1996/97
Dall's Sheep

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Full
Curl

Part
Curl

1996/97

Dall's Sheep

North Slave

Yellowknife

D	1	0		
S/BC/01	1	1	1	
S/OT/02	1	0		

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope

Norman Wells

S/OT/02	1	0		
S	6	1		1
S/BC/01	1	1	1	
S/OT/01	1	0		
S/OT/02	2	1	1	

South Great Slave

Fort Smith

Hay River

D	2	0		
D	1	1	1	
D/OT/01	2	1	1	
S	1	0		

West Deh Cho

Fort Simpson

D	1	0		
---	---	---	--	--

APPENDIX 11

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1996/97
Small Game

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

1996/97

Small Game

Baffin

Cape Dorset	N/BC/09	10	103
Hall Beach	N/BC/08	1	3
Iglolik	N/BC/08	1	2
Iqaluit	N/BC/09	20	139
Kimmirut	N/BC/09	3	22
Nanisivik	N/BC/05	1	4

Delta-ISR

Inuvik	G	1	10
	G/BC/01	4	45
	G/BC/02	3	38
	I/BC/05	6	65
	I/BC/06	1	8
	R/BC/01	2	61

Keewatin

Arviat	N/BC/11	2	54
Baker Lake	N/BC/10	1	35
Rankin Inlet	N/BC/11	7	31

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	N/BC/03	1	1
Kugluktuk	N/BC/07	1	6

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	R/BC/01	4	13
Wha Ti	R/BC/01	2	7
Yellowknife	D	35	422
	D/OT/02	1	4
	N/BC/07	1	2
	R	5	48
	R / D	12	290
	R/BC/01	139	1868
	S	1	4
	U	1	6

Sahtu

Deline	S/BC/01	2	72
Fort Good Hope	S/BC/02	5	83
Norman Wells	D/OT/02	1	3

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1996/97 Small Game	Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills
Norman Wells	S	9	139
	S/BC/01	8	158
	S/BC/02	6	41
	S/OT/02	1	55
	Tulita S	1	7
South Great Slave			
Fort Reliance	U/BC/01	1	10
Fort Resolution	U	1	0
Fort Smith	D	5	52
	N/BC/07	1	4
	U	15	395
Hay River	D	40	715
	D / U	5	100
	D/OT/02	1	4
	U	8	148
	U/BC/01	2	66
West Deh Cho			
Fort Liard	D	4	34
Fort Providence	D	2	78
Fort Simpson	D	27	404
	R/BC/01	1	20
	S/BC/01	1	0
	U	1	38
	Wrigley D	1	22
Waterfowl			
Baffin			
Cape Dorset	N/BC/09	3	42
Delta-ISR			
Inuvik	G/BC/01	1	1
	R/BC/01	2	9
Keewatin			
Arviat	N/BC/11	2	103
Coral Harbour	N/BC/12	1	16
Rankin Inlet	N/BC/11	5	20
North Slave			
Wha Ti	R/BC/01	3	4
Yellowknife	D	4	58
	D / R	1	26

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1996/97
Waterfowl

	Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills
Yellowknife	N/BC/07	1	2
	R	1	9
	R / D	1	17
	R/BC/01	45	475
Sahtu			
Deline	S/BC/01	1	15
Fort Good Hope	S/BC/02	2	67
Norman Wells	S/BC/01	1	9
South Great Slave			
Fort Resolution	U	1	49
Fort Smith	U	1	3
Hay River	D	9	123
	R	1	14
West Deh Cho			
Fort Providence	D	2	17
Fort Simpson	D	1	9

Wolf

Baffin			
Iqaluit	N/BC/09	1	
Pond Inlet	N/BC/05	1	
Delta-ISR			
Inuvik	D	1	
Keewatin			
Arviat	N/BC/10	1	1
	N/BC/11	1	
North Slave			
Yellowknife	D	3	1
	N/BC/07	2	1
	R	1	
	R / D	2	
	R/BC/01	26	27
	S/BC/03	1	
Sahtu			
Fort Good Hope	S/BC/02	1	
Norman Wells	S/BC/01	1	2
South Great Slave			

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1996/97
Wolf

	Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills
Hay River	D	3	
	R/BC/01	1	1

West Deh Cho

Fort Simpson	R/BC/01	1	
--------------	---------	---	--

Wolverine

North Slave

Yellowknife	D	1	
	N/BC/07	1	1
	R/BC/01	15	6
	R/BC/03	1	

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope	S/BC/02	1	
Norman Wells	S	1	
	S/BC/01	1	

South Great Slave

Fort Reliance	U/BC/01	1	
---------------	---------	---	--



1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. This is essential for ensuring the integrity of the financial system and for providing a clear audit trail. The second part of the document outlines the procedures for handling disputes and resolving conflicts between parties. The third part of the document describes the various methods used to collect and analyze data, and the fourth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions.

2. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. This is essential for ensuring the integrity of the financial system and for providing a clear audit trail. The second part of the document outlines the procedures for handling disputes and resolving conflicts between parties. The third part of the document describes the various methods used to collect and analyze data, and the fourth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions.

3. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. This is essential for ensuring the integrity of the financial system and for providing a clear audit trail. The second part of the document outlines the procedures for handling disputes and resolving conflicts between parties. The third part of the document describes the various methods used to collect and analyze data, and the fourth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions.

APPENDIX 12

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1996/97
Barren-Ground Caribou

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
D						
	Fort Liard	1	0			
G/BC/01						
	Inuvik	7	9	4	5	
G/BC/02						
	Inuvik	4	4	2	2	
	Tulita	1	1	1		
VBC/05						
	Inuvik	7	8	4	3	1
VBC/06						
	Inuvik	12	19	6	9	4
	Tuktoyaktuk	1	1		1	
N						
	Cambridge Bay	1	1		1	
	Nanisivik	1	0			
	Pangnirtung	1	2	2		
N/BC/03						
	Cambridge Bay	6	12	2	9	1
	Fort Smith	1	2			2
N/BC/04						
	Taloyoak	2	4	1	3	
N/BC/05						
	Clyde River	2	2		2	
	Igloodik	4	6	5	1	
	Iqaluit	1	0			
	Nanisivik	3	0			
	Pond Inlet	5	11	6	5	
N/BC/06						
	Kugluktuk	2	3	1	1	1
N/BC/07						
	Yellowknife	1	1	1		
N/BC/08						
	Fort Good Hope	1	3		1	2
	Gjoa Haven	1	5	1	4	
	Hall Beach	1	0			

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1996/97

Barren-Ground Caribou

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
	Igloodik	1	1	1		
	Pelly Bay	5	3	1	1	1

N/BC/09

Cape Dorset	15	33	29	4		
Hay River	1	1	1			
Iqaluit	105	171	106	54		11
Kimmirut	5	5	5			
Pangnirtung	2	5	5			

N/BC/10

Baker Lake	2	7	3	3		1
------------	---	---	---	---	--	---

N/BC/11

Arviat	12	20	3	13		4
Rankin Inlet	12	14	5	5		4

N/BC/12

Coral Harbour	2	4	2	1		1
---------------	---	---	---	---	--	---

R

Yellowknife	4	6	6			
-------------	---	---	---	--	--	--

R/BC/01

Fort Liard	2	9	1	4		4
Fort Simpson	10	24	13	9		2
Fort Smith	4	18	10	7		1
Hay River	14	47	35	12		
Inuvik	1	5	2	3		
Rae-Edzo	2	2	1	1		
Rankin Inlet	1	3	1	2		
Wha Ti	3	5	5			
Yellowknife	285	576	275	259		42

R/BC/09

Iqaluit	1	1		1		
---------	---	---	--	---	--	--

S/BC/01

Deline	1	3		3		
Fort Good Hope	1	2	2			
Norman Wells	4	11	5	5		1

S/BC/02

Fort Good Hope	6	8	2	2		4
----------------	---	---	---	---	--	---

U

Fort Smith	1	3		3		
------------	---	---	--	---	--	--

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1996/97

Barren-Ground Caribou

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
U/BC/01	Fort Reliance	3	5	2	3	
	Fort Smith	2	7	5	1	1
	Hay River	4	9	3	6	
	Yellowknife	1	2		1	1

Moose

D	Fort Liard	6	3	1	1	1
	Fort Simpson	18	9	7	1	1
	Fort Smith	5	1	1		
	Hay River	39	15	13	2	
	Wrigley	1	1	1		
	Yellowknife	44	8	4	3	1

D / R	Yellowknife	1	0			
-------	-------------	---	---	--	--	--

D / U	Hay River	1	0			
	Yellowknife	1	0			

D/OT/01	Fort Simpson	1	1		1	
---------	--------------	---	---	--	---	--

D/OT/02	Hay River	1	0			
	Yellowknife	1	1	1		

G	Inuvik	1	0			
---	--------	---	---	--	--	--

G/BC/01	Inuvik	1	1	1		
---------	--------	---	---	---	--	--

G/BC/02	Inuvik	3	2	2		
---------	--------	---	---	---	--	--

VBC/05	Inuvik	1	1	1		
--------	--------	---	---	---	--	--

R	Yellowknife	5	0			
---	-------------	---	---	--	--	--

R / D	Rae-Edzo	1	0			
	Yellowknife	5	0			

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1996/97
Moose

Area Community Hunts Kills Bulls Cows Juvs

R/BC/01

Fort Simpson	1	0			
Hay River	2	1	1		
Rae-Edzo	1	0			
Rankin Inlet	1	0			
Yellowknife	68	25	18	5	2

S

Norman Wells	16	5	4		1
Yellowknife	1	1	1		

S/BC/01

Fort Simpson	2	1	1		
Norman Wells	5	3	2	1	

S/BC/02

Fort Good Hope	4	0			
Inuvik	1	0			
Norman Wells	4	2	1	1	

S/OT/01

Norman Wells	1	0			
--------------	---	---	--	--	--

S/OT/02

Norman Wells	3	2	1	1	
--------------	---	---	---	---	--

S/OT/03

Yellowknife	2	2	2		
-------------	---	---	---	--	--

U

Fort Resolution	1	0			
Fort Smith	5	1		1	
Hay River	4	2	1		1
Yellowknife	1	0			

U/R

Yellowknife	1	0			
-------------	---	---	--	--	--

U/BC/01

Fort Reliance	1	1	1		
Fort Smith	9	4	2	2	
Hay River	4	1		1	
Yellowknife	2	0			

Woodland Caribou

D

Fort Providence	1	1	1		
-----------------	---	---	---	--	--

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1996/97 Woodland Caribou	Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
		Fort Simpson	10	2	2		
		Hay River	15	5	3	2	
		Yellowknife	26	4	3	1	
	D / U	Yellowknife	1	1	1		
	D/OT/02	Fort Simpson	1	0			
		Yellowknife	1	1	1		
	R	Yellowknife	5	1	1		
	R / D	Yellowknife	2	0			
	R/BC/01	Yellowknife	9	1	1		
	S	Hay River	1	1	1		
		Norman Wells	5	2	1	1	
		Yellowknife	1	1	1		
	S/BC/01	Fort Simpson	2	0			
	S/BC/02	Fort Good Hope	1	0			
	S/OT/02	Fort Good Hope	1	0			
		Norman Wells	4	2			2
	S/OT/03	Norman Wells	1	1	1		
		Yellowknife	2	2	2		
	U	Hay River	1	0			
	U/BC/01	Hay River	1	0			

APPENDIX 13

Communities that Hunted Black Bear in the Various Management Areas

1996/97
Black Bear

Area Community Hunts Kills Boars Sows

1996/97
Black Bear

D

Fort Simpson	1	1	1	
Hay River	3	0		
Yellowknife	8	4	3	1

R

Yellowknife	1	1	1	
-------------	---	---	---	--

R / D

Yellowknife	2	0		
-------------	---	---	--	--

R/BC/01

Yellowknife	6	3	1	2
-------------	---	---	---	---

S/BC/02

Fort Good Hope	1	0		
Norman Wells	1	0		

U

Fort Smith	1	1		1
Hay River	1	0		

U/BC/01

Fort Reliance	1	1	1	
---------------	---	---	---	--

APPENDIX 14

Communities that Hunted Sheep in the Various Management Areas

1996/97
Dall's Sheep

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Full Curl	Part Curl
------	-----------	-------	-------	--------------	--------------

1996/97
Dall's Sheep

D

Fort Simpson	1	0		
Fort Smith	2	0		
Hay River	1	1	1	
Yellowknife	1	0		

D/OT/01

Hay River	2	1	1	
-----------	---	---	---	--

S

Hay River	1	0		
Norman Wells	6	1		1

S/BC/01

Norman Wells	1	1	1	
Yellowknife	1	1	1	

S/OT/01

Norman Wells	1	0		
--------------	---	---	--	--

S/OT/02

Fort Good Hope	1	0		
Norman Wells	2	1	1	
Yellowknife	1	0		

APPENDIX 15

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1996/97
Small Game

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
D			
	Fort Liard	4	34
	Fort Providence	2	78
	Fort Simpson	27	404
	Fort Smith	5	52
	Hay River	40	715
	Wrigley	1	22
	Yellowknife	35	422
D / U			
	Hay River	5	100
D/OT/02			
	Hay River	1	4
	Norman Wells	1	3
	Yellowknife	1	4
G			
	Inuvik	1	10
G/BC/01			
	Inuvik	4	45
G/BC/02			
	Inuvik	3	38
I/BC/05			
	Inuvik	6	65
I/BC/06			
	Inuvik	1	8
N/BC/03			
	Cambridge Bay	1	1
N/BC/05			
	Nanisivik	1	4
N/BC/07			
	Fort Smith	1	4
	Kugluktuk	1	6
	Yellowknife	1	2
N/BC/08			
	Hall Beach	1	3
	Igloolik	1	2

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1996/97
Small Game

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
N/BC/09			
	Cape Dorset	10	103
	Iqaluit	20	139
	Kimmirut	3	22
N/BC/10			
	Baker Lake	1	35
N/BC/11			
	Arviat	2	54
	Rankin Inlet	7	31
R			
	Yellowknife	5	48
R / D			
	Yellowknife	12	290
R/BC/01			
	Fort Simpson	1	20
	Inuvik	2	61
	Rae-Edzo	4	13
	Wha Ti	2	7
	Yellowknife	139	1868
S			
	Norman Wells	9	139
	Tulita	1	7
	Yellowknife	1	4
S/BC/01			
	Deline	2	72
	Fort Simpson	1	0
	Norman Wells	8	158
S/BC/02			
	Fort Good Hope	5	83
	Norman Wells	6	41
S/OT/02			
	Norman Wells	1	55
U			
	Fort Resolution	1	0
	Fort Simpson	1	38
	Fort Smith	15	395
	Hay River	8	148

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1996/97 Small Game	Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
		Yellowknife	1	6
	U/BC/01			
		Fort Reliance	1	10
		Hay River	2	66
Waterfowl				
	D			
		Fort Providence	2	17
		Fort Simpson	1	9
		Hay River	9	123
		Yellowknife	4	58
	D / R			
		Yellowknife	1	26
	G/BC/01			
		Inuvik	1	1
	N/BC/07			
		Yellowknife	1	2
	N/BC/09			
		Cape Dorset	3	42
	N/BC/11			
		Arviat	2	103
		Rankin Inlet	5	20
	N/BC/12			
		Coral Harbour	1	16
	R			
		Hay River	1	14
		Yellowknife	1	9
	R / D			
		Yellowknife	1	17
	R/BC/01			
		Inuvik	2	9
		Wha Ti	3	4
		Yellowknife	45	475
	S/BC/01			
		Deline	1	15
		Norman Wells	1	9
	S/BC/02			

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1996/97
Waterfowl

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
	Fort Good Hope	2	67
U	Fort Resolution	1	49
	Fort Smith	1	3

Wolf

D	Hay River	3	
	Inuvik	1	
	Yellowknife	3	1
N/BC/05	Pond Inlet	1	
N/BC/07	Yellowknife	2	1
N/BC/09	Iqaluit	1	
N/BC/10	Arviat	1	1
N/BC/11	Arviat	1	
R	Yellowknife	1	
R / D	Yellowknife	2	
R/BC/01	Fort Simpson	1	
	Hay River	1	1
	Yellowknife	26	27
S/BC/01	Norman Wells	1	2
S/BC/02	Fort Good Hope	1	
S/BC/03	Yellowknife	1	

Wolverine

D

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1996/97 Wolverine	Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
		Yellowknife	1	
	N/BC/07	Yellowknife	1	1
	R/BC/01	Yellowknife	15	6
	R/BC/03	Yellowknife	1	
	S	Norman Wells	1	
	S/BC/01	Norman Wells	1	
	S/BC/02	Fort Good Hope	1	
	U/BC/01	Fort Reliance	1	