



**NWT RESIDENT HUNTER HARVEST
SURVEY RESULTS FOR LICENCE
YEAR 1995/96**

ADRIAN M. D'HONT

**DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES, WILDLIFE AND
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

**GOVERNMENT OF NORTHWEST TERRITORIES
YELLOWKNIFE, NT**

2000

**RWED LIBRARY
GOVT OF THE NWT
YELLOWKNIFE**

Manuscript Report No. 133

THE CONTENTS OF THIS PAPER ARE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE AUTHOR.

ABSTRACT

The NWT Resident Hunter Harvest Survey has been taking place annually in essentially its present form since 1982/83. At the end of each licence year all Resident Hunters are mailed a questionnaire requesting information on the past year's hunting activity and successes.

In 1995/96, 1999 hunters were sent the survey package. The survey was delivered to 1683 of them and responses to the survey totalled 981.

The Resident Hunter Survey in 1995/96 covered barren-ground and woodland caribou, moose, Dall's sheep, black bear, wolf, wolverine, hares, grouse, ducks and geese. The popularity of hunted game ranked in descending order, based on available Resident Hunter licencing information, is small game, moose, barren-ground caribou, woodland caribou, black bear, wolf, wolverine then Dall's sheep. An estimate of number of migratory bird permits held by Resident Hunters puts interest in waterfowl hunting between wolf and wolverine.

Results from the survey are summarized and presented in a variety of table formats that address interest in hunting, response to the survey, hunting effort, hunt success, composition of the harvest, wildlife management area use and projections of estimated total harvests.

RWED LIBRARY
GOVT OF THE NWT
YELLOWKNIFE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	12
LITERATURE CITED	13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. First page of questionnaire.	5
Figure 2. Second page of questionnaire.	6
Figure 3. NWT Wildlife Management Zones in 1995/96.	7

LIST OF APPENDICES

Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders.	Appendix 1
Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters	Appendix 2
Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave	Appendix 3
Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported & Estimated	Appendix 4
Waterfowl Harvest Reported by Community Respondents	Appendix 5
Waterfowl Hunting, Estimates of Permits, Response and Harvest	Appendix 6
Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents	Appendix 7
Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents	Appendix 8
Management Areas Hunted for Black Bear by Community Respondents	Appendix 9
Management Areas Hunted for Dall's Sheep by Community Respondents	Appendix 10
Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents	Appendix 11
Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas	Appendix 12
Communities that Hunted Black Bear in the Various Management Areas	Appendix 13
Communities that Hunted Dall's Sheep in the Various Management Areas	Appendix 14
Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas	Appendix 15

INTRODUCTION

NWT Resident Hunters are primarily non-natives who meet the 2-year residency requirement and are 16 or more years of age for big game hunting or, if certain conditions are met, can be between 14 and 16 years of age for small game. Resident Hunters make up approximately 20% of the 1995/96 NWT hunting public. Approximately half of the population of NWT Resident Hunters lives in Yellowknife.

The NWT Resident Hunter Survey in much its present form has been ongoing since hunting licence year 1982/83. Minor changes have occurred over the years to the list of included species and questionnaire layout. For 1995/96, Wildlife Management Zone information was requested for wolf and wolverine hunts. The layout of the waterfowl section was also changed to simplify hunt numbering and to ask if a migratory game bird hunting permit was held. The underlying method of 3 sequential mailings at the end of the licence year (July 1 to June 30) and the expansion of survey results to estimated total harvest has remained unchanged throughout the years.

This survey attempts to obtain hunting and harvesting information from all NWT Resident Hunters who purchased big game or small game hunting licences in the previous licence year. The list of hunters to survey is taken from the centralized Game Licensing system. Any inaccuracies in the licencing system database of course will be carried into the survey, the results and the projections to estimated total harvest.

Survey results are typically organized by community and region for each species. Community is where the hunter resides or resided at the time of licence purchase. Dall's sheep hunting showing up for a Baffin community can mean that a community resident travelled to take advantage of an opportunity to hunt or has relocated.

The wildlife covered by the survey in 1995/96 included barren-ground and woodland caribou, moose, Dall's sheep, black bear, wolf, wolverine, hares, grouse, ducks and geese. Resident Hunters can each purchase up to 5 barren-ground caribou tags and any number of wolf and wolverine tags. The tag limit for woodland caribou, moose, black bear and Dall's sheep is 1 per hunter per year.

Some species are hunted incidentally or in combination with other primarily sought species. The popularity of hunted game in descending order, based on available licencing information, is small game, moose, barren-ground caribou, woodland caribou, black bear, wolf, wolverine then Dall's sheep. Licencing information for waterfowl is not directly available for 1995/96 Resident Hunters but can be estimated from the proportion of responding hunters that reported holding migratory bird permits. For this year that works out to be 165 migratory bird permits for the NWT Resident Hunters included on the 1995/96 hunter list. This ranks waterfowl between wolf and wolverine for popularity with Resident Hunters.

Results from the survey are summarized and presented in a variety of table formats that address interest in hunting, response to the survey, hunting effort, hunt success, composition of the harvest, wildlife management area use and projections of estimated total harvests.

METHODS

Hunting Licence information was maintained in a centralized database in the Game Licencing System. Annual licence and tag information from Renewable Resources offices and private vendors in the various regions was entered, batch mode, into the database tables by Department staff in regional centres. Resident Hunter name, address, licence and tag information was subsequently downloaded at the end of the licence year to form the list of hunters to be surveyed. As soon as practicable at the end of the licence year, the survey package was mailed out to all Resident Hunters on the hunter list. The survey package included a letter introducing the survey and inviting the recipients' participation, a questionnaire on hunting activity and success, a small map of the wildlife management areas, charts of previous years' results and a prepaid business reply mail envelope. The method of re-mailing the same basic package with slightly strengthening tone of the accompanying letter for 2 follow-ups of the non-respondents at intervals of approximately 6 weeks has remained the same as reported by Chalmers (1990). The questionnaire employed (Figures 1 & 2) and the included map (Figure 3) are reproduced on pages 5 - 7.

Compiled results from survey responses are projected to estimated total harvests by the "ratio method" using this algorithm:

$$\text{estimated harvest} = \text{reported harvest} \times \text{total tags issued} \div \text{tags held by respondents}$$

This equation is used per species and can integrate groups of hunters from community to whole territory levels.

In the case of the widely hunted barren-ground caribou, the so-called "linear regression method" can also be used to project reported kill to estimated total harvest. In

this method reported kills accumulated over the response waves (for mailings 1 to 3) are regressed against accumulated tags reporting and the estimated kill is determined by extrapolating the best fit line to total issued tag number. The Norecon report (GNWT 1994) found that there is no significant difference in estimates derived by the ratio or the regression method at the regional level of integration. The regression method is not always practical at the lower levels of hunter integration where there are few hunters and little caribou hunting. The method relies on adequate response over three waves to establish a best-fit line. The regression method has typically been used at the level of regional integration.

Estimates of community waterfowl harvests are derived by dividing reported kills by the overall survey response rate for those communities that reported at least 1 permit in the survey. The estimated number of Migratory Game Bird Hunting Permits for each community is derived in the same way by dividing reported permits by the overall response rate for that community. At the regional and territorial levels, only those communities that reported at least one permit are included in the grouping for permit and harvest estimation.

HUNTER HARVEST QUESTIONNAIRE 1995/96

DO NOT REMOVE LABEL.
QUESTIONNAIRE IS CONFIDENTIAL
WHEN COMPLETED.

NOTE:

1. THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 TO JUNE 30, 1996.
2. PLEASE complete each section whether your hunting trip was successful or not.
3. This information is confidential and will not be used for enforcement purposes.
4. A 'Wildlife Zone' map is enclosed.

IF YOU PURCHASED A SMALL GAME TAG ONLY PLEASE PROCEED TO SECTION H

A **DID YOU HUNT BARREN GROUND CARIBOU?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Number of each type of kill		
				Bulls	Cows	Juveniles

B **DID YOU HUNT MOOSE?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Bull	Type of kill	
					Cow	Juvenile

C **DID YOU HUNT WOODLAND CARIBOU?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Bull	Type of kill	
					Cow	Juvenile

D **DID YOU HUNT BLACK BEAR?** Yes ☐ No ☐
If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please provide the following information - whether your hunt was successful or not.

Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Type of Kill	
				Boar	Sow

Figure 1. First page of questionnaire.

E DID YOU HUNT WOLVES? Yes ☐ No ☐ Wildlife Zone _____ Did you kill any wolves? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, how many? _____ General Location: _____	F DID YOU HUNT WOLVERINE? Yes ☐ No ☐ Wildlife Zone _____ Did you kill any wolverines? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, how many? _____ General Location: _____
---	--

G	DID YOU HUNT DALLS SHEEP? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, were you successful? Yes ☐ No ☐ Please provide the following information - whether you were successful or not. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 35%;">Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Wildlife Zone</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Month of Hunt</th> <th style="width: 10%;"># Days Hunted</th> <th colspan="2" style="width: 35%;">Type of Kill</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>3/4 curl</th> <th>full curl</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Type of Kill						3/4 curl	full curl																		
Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	# Days Hunted	Type of Kill																											
				3/4 curl	full curl																										

H	DID YOU HUNT SMALL GAME? Yes ☐ No ☐ How many of each kind did you bag? <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 25%;">Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Wildlife Zone</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Month of Hunt</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Spruce Grouse</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Ruffed Grouse</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Sharp-Tailed Grouse</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Ptarmigan</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Hare</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharp-Tailed Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare																								
Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Month of Hunt	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharp-Tailed Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare																										

I	DID YOU HAVE A MIGRATORY BIRD PERMIT LAST YEAR? Yes ☐ No ☐ Did you hunt waterfowl? Yes ☐ No ☐ Indicate number bagged <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="width: 25%;">Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark</th> <th rowspan="2" style="width: 10%;">Wildlife Zone</th> <th rowspan="2" style="width: 10%;">Dates Hunted</th> <th rowspan="2" style="width: 10%;">Number of days hunted</th> <th colspan="5" style="width: 25%;">DUCKS</th> <th colspan="5" style="width: 30%;">GEESE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Mallard</th> <th>Pintail</th> <th>Scaup</th> <th>Teal</th> <th>Wigeon</th> <th>Other Ducks</th> <th>Snow Geese</th> <th>Canada Geese</th> <th>White Fronted Geese</th> <th>Other Geese</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone	Dates Hunted	Number of days hunted	DUCKS					GEESE					Mallard	Pintail	Scaup	Teal	Wigeon	Other Ducks	Snow Geese	Canada Geese	White Fronted Geese	Other Geese																																																																																																		
Hunt Location Lat/Long or nearest landmark	Wildlife Zone					Dates Hunted	Number of days hunted	DUCKS					GEESE																																																																																																														
		Mallard	Pintail	Scaup	Teal			Wigeon	Other Ducks	Snow Geese	Canada Geese	White Fronted Geese	Other Geese																																																																																																														

COMMENTS: _____

Figure 2. Second page of questionnaire.




Figure 3. NWT Wildlife Management Zones in 1995/96.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 1995/96 there were 1999 NWT Resident Hunters recorded in the Game Licencing System. Of these, 1769 had big game licences and 1500 held authorizations for small game. The survey package was mailed to 100% of the hunters and was delivered to 1683 of them over the course of 3 mailing waves. Replies were received from 981 of the hunters who received the survey package. Hunting activity was reported by 733 of those who responded to the survey. Detailed information, by community, on numbers of hunters and their licences is listed in **Appendix 1: Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders**. **Appendix 2: Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters** details the response down to community level.

Overall, there is considerable variation in the level of hunt detail provided and in the completeness of response received back from hunters in this survey. When preparing the results tables, attempts were made to include any and all information provided.

Results for hunter-group integrations at successively higher levels are calculated from the original response data and are not derived from lower level integrations reported in the same tables. This is sometimes necessary because of respondent inconsistencies in filling in the blanks on the survey questionnaire. For example, there may be a number of hunts reported but duration information may only be reported for a fraction of those. Average days hunted can only be calculated on the basis of the number of hunts that reported their duration and not on the total of all hunts made.

Some species are hunted incidentally or in combination with other primarily sought species. The popularity of hunted game in descending order, based on available Resident Hunter licencing information and estimates of migratory game bird permits, is

small game, moose, barren-ground caribou, woodland caribou, black bear, wolf, waterfowl, wolverine then Dall's sheep.

Appendix 3: *Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave* presents hunt success by hunt number for each of the survey response waves and uses the ratio method to expand total reported kill to an estimated total kill down to the community level. Waterfowl are not included in this table.

The species composition of small game harvested per community is presented in **Appendix 4: *Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported and Estimated Kill***.

A species breakdown of reported waterfowl harvest is contained in **Appendix 5: *Waterfowl Harvest Reported by Community Respondents***. **Appendix 6: *Waterfowl Hunting, Estimates of Permits, Response and Harvest*** presents the estimated number of Migratory Bird permits held by Resident Hunters for those communities that reported at least 1 permit. Waterfowl projection methods for this report are entirely based on hunter response for both total permit and total harvest estimates. The reply rate shown is the overall hunter response rate to the survey in that community. It is assumed that the percentage of hunters with Migratory Game Bird permits is the same for respondents and non-respondents in those communities that reported permits and that all waterfowl hunters behave similarly.

The Norecon report (GNWT 1994) and to some extent the Chalmers (1990) report engage in a discussion of the validity of estimating harvest for a population of NWT Resident Hunters from a respondent sample. The basic assumptions in the estimation method employed are that hunt information is reported accurately and that the behaviour

and success of the respondents indeed reflects that of the entire population of interest. At this point we continue to live with the uncertainties inherent in these assumptions but can take some comfort in the fact that 58% of those who received the questionnaire responded to it. The year-to-year consistency of the method can provide, at a very minimum, a basis for valid trend assessment across spans of years (assuming of course that the licence and tag information at the start is correct).

Hunting effort expressed as average number of days spent hunting is presented per community in **Appendix 7: *Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents***. No hunt duration information was collected for small game, wolf and wolverine hunts.

Information on management areas hunted is presented in a series of tables in the appendices listed below. These tables include the number of hunts and age/sex information for any reported caribou, moose, black bear and Dall's sheep kills. Information on waterfowl, small game, wolf and wolverine lists the number of reported hunts and total kills only. The hunts included in these tables are only those where a management area was listed on the completed questionnaire.

The Wildlife Management Zone map in use at the time appears to have caused some confusion particularly in the southern portion of zone G-1. The G-1 area south of the Mackenzie River and Great Slave Lake was sometimes referred to by hunters as G-2, likely because of the positioning of the G-2 label for the Mackenzie Bison Sanctuary. The same scenario with labels arrowed to small zones may have caused some mis-assignment in some other areas, though likely to a much lesser extent than in the more heavily used G zone.

Appendix 8: *Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents* (cervids include barren-ground and woodland caribou and moose).

Appendix 9: *Management Areas Hunted for Black Bear by Community Respondents*.

Appendix 10: *Management Areas Hunted for Dall's Sheep by Community Respondents*.

Appendix 11: *Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents* (includes reported hunts and total reported kills for small game, waterfowl, wolf and wolverine).

Appendices 12 to 15 cover a series of tables that present the same hunt and kill information as the previous 4 but organize it by management area with the communities that hunt there listed for each.

Appendix 12: *Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas*.

Appendix 13: *Communities that Hunted Black Bear in the Various Management Areas*.

Appendix 14: *Communities that Hunted Dall's Sheep in the Various Management Areas*.

Appendix 15: *Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is the NWT Resident Hunters who year after year take the time to complete the survey questionnaires who enable this study to continue. It is this relationship of responsible hunters with their wildlife management agencies that will allow the sustainable continuation of the hunting tradition.

Thanks must also be extended to those GNWT workers who administered our system of licencing and kept the central database updated with the information needed to run this survey.

Big thanks also to all the helpers at wildlife headquarters who lent a hand in getting all the survey paper organized and in the mail to hunters.

LITERATURE CITED

Chalmers, L. 1990. Resident hunter harvest study summary report Northwest Territories 1988/89. Department of Renewable Resources, Government of the Northwest Territories, File Report No. 99. 33 pp.

Government of the Northwest Territories. 1994. Resident hunter harvest survey, main report. Norecon Ltd. for Department of Renewable Resources, Government of the Northwest Territories. 26 pp. + appendices.

APPENDIX 1

Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders

1995/96	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	BIG GAME LICENCES	SMALL GAME LICENCES
---------	--------	-----------	------------------	----------------------	------------------------

1995/96

Baffin

Arctic Bay	4	3	3
Cape Dorset	8	8	8
Clyde River	5	5	3
Grise Fiord	1	1	1
Hall Beach	5	5	4
Igloolik	5	5	3
Iqaluit	132	131	81
Kimmirut	5	5	4
Nanisivik	11	11	5
Pangnirtung	4	4	4
Pond Inlet	5	5	3
Resolute Bay	2	2	2
Total for Baffin Region (12 communities)	187	185	121

Delta-ISR

Aklavik	5	5	1
Fort McPherson	6	6	4
Holman	2	2	1
Inuvik	93	86	61
Tsiigehtchic	1	1	0
Tuktoyaktuk	2	2	0
Total for Delta-ISR Region (6 communities)	109	102	67

Keewatin

Arviat	12	12	7
Baker Lake	9	9	7
Coral Harbour	4	4	2
Rankin Inlet	26	26	4
Whale Cove	1	1	0
Total for Keewatin Region (5 communities)	52	52	20

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	28	26	9
Gjoa Haven	7	5	4
Kugluktuk	14	10	9

Number of NWT Resident Hunting Licence Holders

1995/96	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	BIG GAME LICENCES	SMALL GAME LICENCES
		Pelly Bay	3	3	0
		Taloyoak	6	6	4
		Total for Kitikmeot Region (5 communities)	58	50	26
	North Slave				
		Rae-Edzo	13	12	10
		Yellowknife	1006	907	762
		Total for North Slave Region (2 communities)	1019	919	772
	Sahtu				
		Deline	5	4	5
		Fort Good Hope	5	4	5
		Norman Wells	60	50	41
		Tulita	4	2	3
		Total for Sahtu Region (4 communities)	74	60	54
	South Great Slave				
		Enterprise	2	2	2
		Fort Resolution	4	2	4
		Fort Smith	126	93	118
		Hay River	254	205	218
		Lutsel K'e	4	4	4
		Total for South Great Slave Region (5 communities)	390	306	346
	West Deh Cho				
		Fort Liard	12	11	12
		Fort Providence	5	5	5
		Fort Simpson	87	73	72
		Nahanni Butte	3	3	3
		Wrigley	3	3	2
		Total for West Deh Cho Region (5 communities)	110	95	94
		Total for 1995/96 (44 communities)	1999	1769	1500

APPENDIX 2

Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters

1995/96	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	MAILED TO	DELIVERED TO	REPLIED IN WAVE 1	REPLIED IN WAVE 2	REPLIED IN WAVE 3	TOTAL REPLY	RESPONDENTS WHO HUNTED
---------	--------	-----------	---------------	-----------	--------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------	------------------------

1995/96

Baffin

Arctic Bay	4	4	4	4	1	1	2	2	2	2
Cape Dorset	8	8	7	3	2	5	4	4	4	4
Clyde River	5	5	4	3	1	4	2	2	2	2
Grise Fiord	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
Hall Beach	5	5	5	2	1	2	5	5	5	5
Igloolik	5	5	5	2	1	22	5	75	65	65
Iqaluit	132	132	123	48	1	1	1	1	1	1
Kimmirut	5	5	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Nanisivik	11	11	10	6	1	1	7	5	5	5
Pangnirtung	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Pond Inlet	5	5	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Resolute Bay	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total for Baffin Region	187	187	172	66	30	8	104	89	89	89

Delta-ISR

Aklavik	5	5	4	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
Fort McPherson	6	6	6	5	3	5	3	3	3	3
Holman	2	2	2	2	4	46	35	35	35	35
Inuvik	93	93	82	31	11	4	46	46	46	46
Tsiigehtchic	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tuktoyaktuk	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2
Total for Delta-ISR Region	109	109	97	37	13	6	56	41	41	41

Keewatin

Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters

1995/96	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	MAILED TO	DELIVERED TO	REPLIED IN WAVE 1	REPLIED IN WAVE 2	REPLIED IN WAVE 3	TOTAL REPLY	RESPONDENTS WHO HUNTED
		Arviat	12	12	11	7	1		8	7
		Baker Lake	9	9	9	2	2	1	5	3
		Coral Harbour	4	4	3		1	1	2	1
		Rankin Inlet	26	26	25	11	2	2	15	13
		Whale Cove	1	1	1					
		Total for Keewatin Region	52	52	49	20	6	4	30	24
		Kitikmeot								
		Cambridge Bay	28	28	26	14	1	2	17	11
		Gjoa Haven	7	7	6	4		1	5	1
		Kugluktuk	14	14	13	5	5		10	9
		Pelly Bay	3	3	3	1			1	1
		Taloyoak	6	6	6	6			6	5
		Total for Kitikmeot Region	58	58	54	30	6	3	39	27
		North Slave								
		Rae-Edzo	13	13	13	6	1		7	5
		Yellowknife	1006	1006	749	260	106	56	422	309
		Total for North Slave Region	1019	1019	762	266	107	56	429	314
		Sahtu								
		Deline	5	5	5	2	1		3	3
		Fort Good Hope	5	5	5	3			3	3
		Norman Wells	60	60	60	27	10	8	45	34
		Tulita	4	4	4	2			2	2
		Total for Sahtu Region	74	74	74	34	11	8	53	42

Survey Response by NWT Resident Hunters

1995/96	REGION	COMMUNITY	TOTAL HUNTERS	MAILED TO	DELIVERED TO	REPLIED IN WAVE 1	REPLIED IN WAVE 2	REPLIED IN WAVE 3	TOTAL REPLY	RESPONDENTS WHO HUNTED
South Great Slave										
		Enterprise	2	2	2			1	1	1
		Fort Resolution	4	4	3	1		2	3	3
		Fort Smith	126	126	119	39	21	5	65	54
		Hay River	254	254	241	84	30	21	135	91
		Lutsel K'e	4	4	4	3			3	3
Total for South Great Slave Region			390	390	369	127	51	29	207	151
West Deh Cho										
		Fort Liard	12	12	11	5	1		6	4
		Fort Providence	5	5	5	4			4	4
		Fort Simpson	87	87	84	30	11	6	47	33
		Nahanni Butte	3	3	3	1	2		3	2
		Wrigley	3	3	3	2	1		3	2
Total for West Deh Cho Region			110	110	106	42	15	6	63	45
Total for NWT for 1995/96			1999	1999	1683	622	239	120	981	733

APPENDIX 3

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
1995/96											
Barren-Ground Caribou											
Baffin											
Arctic Bay											
	Total Held	3	9								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							11.1%	
Cape Dorset											
	Total Held	5	13						3		
	Wave 1 response	2	4	2	1						
	Wave 2 response	1	2								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	6	2	1				3	46.2%	7
Clyde River											
	Total Held	1	5						2		
	Wave 1 response	1	5	2							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	5	2					2	100.0%	2
Hall Beach											
	Total Held	4	9						2		
	Wave 1 response	1	2	1	1						
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	2	1	1				2	22.2%	9
Igloodik											
	Total Held	5	14						2		
	Wave 1 response	2	5	2					1		
	Wave 2 response	1	5	1					4		
	Wave 3 response	2	4	3	1				7	100.0%	7
	Total Response	5	14	6	1						
Iqaluit											
	Total Held	124	367						116		
	Wave 1 response	45	136	74	18	14	7	3			
	Wave 2 response	23	78	34	11	6	4	1	56		
	Wave 3 response	5	13	4	4	3			11		
	Total Response	73	227	112	33	23	11	4	183	61.9%	296

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Kimmirut	Total Held	4	17								
	Wave 1 response	1	5	3	1	1	2	2	9		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	5	3	1	1	2	2	9	29.4%	31
Nanisivik	Total Held	10	20								
	Wave 1 response	5	10	5	1				6		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	2								
	Total Response	6	12	5	1				6	60.0%	10
Pangnirtung	Total Held	4	13								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	2	10	7					7		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	10	7					7	76.9%	9
Pond Inlet	Total Held	5	15								
	Wave 1 response	1	2	1	1				2		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	2	1	1				2	13.3%	15
Resolute Bay	Total Held	1	5								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Baffin Regional Totals	Total Held	166	487								
	Wave 1 response	59	170	90	23	15	9	5	142		
	Wave 2 response	27	95	42	11	6	4	1	64		
	Wave 3 response	8	19	7	5	3			15		
	Total Response	94	284	139	39	24	13	6	221	58.3%	379
Delta-ISR Akklavik	Total Held	5	20								
	Wave 1 response	1	5	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	1	5	3					3		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	10	8					8	50.0%	16

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort McPherson											
	Total Held	6	9								
	Wave 1 response	5	7								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	5	7							77.8%	
Inuvik											
	Total Held	79	167						26		
	Wave 1 response	28	63	22	3	1			8		
	Wave 2 response	9	14	6	2				6		
	Wave 3 response	4	13	6					6		
	Total Response	41	90	34	5	1			40	53.9%	74
Tsiigehtchic											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Tuktoyaktuk											
	Total Held	2	4								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	2								
	Wave 3 response	1	2								
	Total Response	2	4							100.0%	
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	93	201						31		
	Wave 1 response	34	75	27	3	1			11		
	Wave 2 response	11	21	9	2				6		
	Wave 3 response	6	16	6					48	55.7%	86
	Total Response	51	112	42	5	1					
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	12	31								
	Wave 1 response	7	19	14	3	1			18		
	Wave 2 response	1	2	1	1				2		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	8	21	15	4	1			20	67.7%	30
Keewatin											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Arviat											
	Total Held	6	14								
	Wave 1 response	2	3								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response	1	5	1					1		
	Total Response	4	9	1					1	64.3%	2
Baker Lake											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Barten-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Coral Harbour	Total Held	4	20								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	5								
	Wave 3 response	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	5		
	Total Response	2	10	1	1	1	1	1	5	50.0%	10
Rankin Inlet	Total Held	23	77								
	Wave 1 response	10	34	13	6	2	1		22		
	Wave 2 response	2	8	4	2				6		
	Wave 3 response	1	3								
	Total Response	13	45	17	8	2	1		28	58.4%	48
Whale Cove	Total Held	1	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Keewatin Regional Totals	Total Held	48	144								
	Wave 1 response	19	56	27	9	3	1		40		
	Wave 2 response	5	16	5	3				8		
	Wave 3 response	3	13	2	1	1	1	1	6		
	Total Response	27	85	34	13	4	2	1	54	59.0%	91
Kitikmeot Cambridge Bay	Total Held	17	55								
	Wave 1 response	7	20	12	5	2			19		
	Wave 2 response	1	5								
	Wave 3 response	1	5	6					6		
	Total Response	9	30	18	5	2			25	54.5%	46
Gjoa Haven	Total Held	5	12								
	Wave 1 response	3	8	1	2	1			4		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	2								
	Total Response	4	10	1	2	1			4	83.3%	5
Kugluktuk	Total Held	8	21								
	Wave 1 response	3	9	6					6		
	Wave 2 response	3	6	4	1				5		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	15	10	1				11	71.4%	15

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Pelly Bay	Total Held	3	5								
	Wave 1 response	1	3	1	2				3		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	3	1	2				3	60.0%	5
Taloyoak	Total Held	6	14								
	Wave 1 response	6	14	16	1				17		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	14	16	1				17	100.0%	17
Kitikmeot Regional Totals	Total Held	39	107								
	Wave 1 response	20	54	36	10	3			49		
	Wave 2 response	4	11	4	1				5		
	Wave 3 response	2	7	6					6		
	Total Response	26	72	46	11	3			60	67.3%	89
North Slave Rae-Edzo	Total Held	9	14								
	Wave 1 response	4	6	4					4		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	6	4					4	42.9%	9
Yellowknife	Total Held	483	1218								
	Wave 1 response	143	392	272	18	6	2		298		
	Wave 2 response	64	141	95	8	2			105		
	Wave 3 response	34	76	48	8	5			61		
	Total Response	241	609	415	34	13	2		464	50.0%	928
North Slave Regional Totals	Total Held	492	1232								
	Wave 1 response	147	398	276	18	6	2		302		
	Wave 2 response	64	141	95	8	2			105		
	Wave 3 response	34	76	48	8	5			61		
	Total Response	245	615	419	34	13	2		468	49.9%	938
Sahtu											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Deline	Total Held	3	6								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							16.7%	
Fort Good Hope	Total Held	3	12								
	Wave 1 response	2	9	3	1	1	2	2	9		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	9	3	1	1	2	2	9	75.0%	12
Norman Wells	Total Held	20	59								
	Wave 1 response	9	28	9					9		
	Wave 2 response	5	19	6					6		
	Wave 3 response	2	3								
	Total Response	16	48	15					15	81.4%	18
Tulita	Total Held	1	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	2							100.0%	
Sahtu Regional Totals	Total Held	27	79								
	Wave 1 response	13	38	12	1	1	2	2	18		
	Wave 2 response	5	19	6					6		
	Wave 3 response	2	3								
	Total Response	20	60	18	1	1	2	2	24	75.9%	32
South Great Slave Fort Smith	Total Held	8	23								
	Wave 1 response	2	4	14					14		
	Wave 2 response	1	2	11					11		
	Wave 3 response			3					3		
	Total Response	3	6	28					28	26.1%	107
Hay River	Total Held	37	142								
	Wave 1 response	17	62	32					32		
	Wave 2 response	4	15	13					13		
	Wave 3 response	3	15	9	1	1	1	1	13		
	Total Response	24	92	54	1	1	1	1	58	64.8%	90

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Barren-Ground Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Lutsel K'e	Total Held	4	17						12		
	Wave 1 response	3	12	12							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response									70.6%	17
	Total Response	3	12	12					12		
South Great Slave	Total Held	49	182						58		
	Wave 1 response	22	78	58					24		
	Wave 2 response	5	17	24					16		
	Wave 3 response	3	15	12	1	1	1	1	98	60.4%	162
	Total Response	30	110	94							
West Deh Cho	Total Held	2	7								
	Wave 1 response	1	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	2							28.6%	
	Total Response										
Fort Simpson	Total Held	16	57						19		
	Wave 1 response	7	25	19							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	7	25	19					19	43.9%	43
	Total Response										
Wrigley	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response									100.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
West Deh Cho	Total Held	19	65						19		
	Wave 1 response	9	28	19							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	9	28	19					19	43.1%	44
	Total Response										
Barren-Ground Caribou	Total Held	931	2497						659		
	Wave 1 response	323	897	545					7		
	Wave 2 response	121	320	185					1		
	Wave 3 response	58	149	81					2		
	Total Response	502	1366	811					10	54.7%	1813

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Black Bear	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
---------	------------	----------	------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------------------------

Black Bear

Delta-ISR

Inuvik

Total Held	9	9									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response	3	3									
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	3	3								33.3%	

Delta-ISR

Total Held	9	9									
Wave 1 response											
Wave 2 response	3	3									
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	3	3								33.3%	

Regional Totals

North Slave

Rae-Edzo

Total Held	3	3									
Wave 1 response	1	1									
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	1	1								33.3%	

Yellowknife

Total Held	152	152									
Wave 1 response	46	46		10					10		
Wave 2 response	13	13		1					1		
Wave 3 response	10	10		2					2		
Total Response	69	69		13					13		29

North Slave

Total Held	155	155									
Wave 1 response	47	47		10					10		
Wave 2 response	13	13		1					1		
Wave 3 response	10	10		2					2		
Total Response	70	70		13					13		29

Regional Totals

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope

Total Held	1	1									
Wave 1 response	1	1									
Wave 2 response											
Wave 3 response											
Total Response	1	1								100.0%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96 Black Bear

	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Norman Wells										
Total Held	9	9						1		
Wave 1 response	6	6	1							
Wave 2 response	3	3								
Wave 3 response									100.0%	1
Total Response	9	9	1					1		
Sahtu										
Total Held	10	10						1		
Wave 1 response	7	7	1							
Wave 2 response	3	3								
Wave 3 response									100.0%	1
Total Response	10	10	1					1		

South Great Slave

Fort Smith

Total Held	22	22						3		
Wave 1 response	8	8								
Wave 2 response	2	2								
Wave 3 response	2	2							54.5%	6
Total Response	12	12						3		

Hay River

Total Held	19	19						1		
Wave 1 response	5	5								
Wave 2 response	3	3								
Wave 3 response	3	3							57.9%	2
Total Response	11	11						1		

Lutsel K'e

Total Held	1	1								
Wave 1 response	1	1								
Wave 2 response										
Wave 3 response									100.0%	
Total Response	1	1								

South Great Slave

Regional Totals

Total Held	42	42						4		
Wave 1 response	14	14								
Wave 2 response	5	5								
Wave 3 response	5	5							57.1%	7
Total Response	24	24						4		

West Deh Cho

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Black Bear	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	16	16								
	Wave 1 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	9	9	1					1	56.3%	2
Nahanni Butte											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	19	19								
	Wave 1 response	7	7	1					1		
	Wave 2 response	3	3								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	11	11	1					1	57.9%	2
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	235	235								
	Wave 1 response	75	75	16					16		
	Wave 2 response	27	27	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	16	16	2					2		
	Total Response	118	118	19					19	50.2%	38

Dall's Sheep

Delta-ISR

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Dall's Sheep	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Inuvik											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1							100.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1							100.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
Kitikmeot											
Cambridge Bay											
	Total Held	1	1						1		
	Wave 1 response	1	1		1						
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response								1	100.0%	
	Total Response	1	1		1				1		
Kugluktuk											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response									100.0%	
	Total Response	2	2								
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	3	3						1		
	Wave 1 response	2	2		1						
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3		1				1	100.0%	
North Slave											
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	20	20								
	Wave 1 response	4	4						1		
	Wave 2 response	2	2		1						
	Wave 3 response	4	4						1	50.0%	
	Total Response	10	10		1				1		

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Dall's Sheep	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
North Slave											
	Total Held	20	20								
	Wave 1 response	4	4								
Regional Totals	Wave 2 response	2	2	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	4	4								
	Total Response	10	10	1					1	50.0%	2
Sahtu											
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	32	32								
	Wave 1 response	15	15	4					4		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	4	4								
	Total Response	25	25	5					5	78.1%	6
Tulita											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Sahtu											
Regional Totals	Total Held	33	33								
	Wave 1 response	15	15	4					4		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	4	4								
	Total Response	25	25	5					5	75.8%	7
South Great Slave											
Hay River											
	Total Held	8	8								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	3	3							37.5%	
South Great Slave											
Regional Totals	Total Held	8	8								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	3	3							37.5%	
West Deh Cho											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Dall's Sheep	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Liard	Total Held	6	6						2		
	Wave 1 response	3	3	2							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response								2	50.0%	4
	Total Response	3	3	2							
Fort Simpson	Total Held	17	17						2		
	Wave 1 response	5	5	2					1		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	1							
	Wave 3 response	1	1						3	47.1%	6
	Total Response	8	8	3							
West Deh Cho Regional Totals	Total Held	23	23						4		
	Wave 1 response	8	8	4					1		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	1							
	Wave 3 response	1	1						5	47.8%	10
	Total Response	11	11	5							
Dall's Sheep NWT Totals 1995/96	Total Held	88	88						9		
	Wave 1 response	31	31	9					3		
	Wave 2 response	11	11	3							
	Wave 3 response	11	11						12	60.2%	20
	Total Response	53	53	12							

Moose

Baffin Iqaluit	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Resolute Bay	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Baffin											
	Total Held	1	1								
Regional Totals											
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Delta-ISR											
Aklavik											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Fort McPherson											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	3	3	1					1		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	1					1	100.0%	1
Inuvik											
	Total Held	56	56								
	Wave 1 response	20	20	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	2	2								
	Total Response	28	28	7					7	50.0%	14
Tsiigehtchic											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Delta-ISR											
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	61	61								
	Wave 1 response	23	23	6					6		
	Wave 2 response	7	7	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	3	3								
	Total Response	33	33	8					8	54.1%	15
Kitikmeot											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Kugluktuk											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response								1		
	Wave 2 response			1							
	Wave 3 response								1		
	Total Response			1							
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response								1		
	Wave 2 response			1							
	Wave 3 response								1		
	Total Response			1							
Regional Totals											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response			1							
	Wave 3 response								1		
	Total Response			1							
North Slave											
	Total Held	9	9								
	Wave 1 response	6	6								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	6							66.7%	
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	655	657						27		
	Wave 1 response	184	185	26	1				5		
	Wave 2 response	63	63	5					3		
	Wave 3 response	32	32	3							
	Total Response	279	280	34	1				35	42.6%	82
North Slave											
	Total Held	684	686						27		
	Wave 1 response	190	191	26	1				5		
	Wave 2 response	63	63	5					3		
	Wave 3 response	32	32	3							
	Total Response	285	286	34	1				35	42.9%	82
Sahtu											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Good Hope											
	Total Held	4	4								
	Wave 1 response	3	3								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3							75.0%	
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	40	40								
	Wave 1 response	17	17	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	5	5	1					1		
	Total Response	28	28	7					7	70.0%	10
Tulita											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Sahtu											
	Total Held	46	46								
	Wave 1 response	22	22	5					5		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	5	5	1					1		
	Total Response	33	33	7					7	71.7%	10
South Great Slave											
Enterprise											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
Fort Resolution											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1	1					1		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	2	2	1					1	100.0%	1
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	89	90								
	Wave 1 response	29	29	8	1				9		
	Wave 2 response	15	15	2	1				3		
	Wave 3 response	5	6	1					1		
	Total Response	49	50	11	2				13	55.6%	23

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Hay River											
	Total Held	189	190						13		
	Wave 1 response	65	65	13					3		
	Wave 2 response	25	25	3					4		
	Wave 3 response	15	15	4					20	55.3%	36
	Total Response	105	105	20							
Lutsel K'e											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response									50.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
South Great Slave Regional Totals											
	Total Held	284	286						23		
	Wave 1 response	96	96	22	1				6		
	Wave 2 response	40	40	5	1				5		
	Wave 3 response	22	23	5	5	2			34	55.6%	61
	Total Response	158	159	32							
West Deh Cho											
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	11	11						1		
	Wave 1 response	4	4	1							
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	5	5	1					1	45.5%	2
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	5	5						2		
	Wave 1 response	4	4	2							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4	2					2	80.0%	3
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	65	66						5		
	Wave 1 response	24	24	5					2		
	Wave 2 response	8	8	2							
	Wave 3 response	4	4						7	54.5%	13
	Total Response	36	36	7							
Nahanni Butte											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1						1		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	1							
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	1					1	100.0%	1

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Moose	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Wrigley											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	2	2	1					1		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	1					1	100.0%	1
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	87	88								
	Wave 1 response	35	35	9					9		
	Wave 2 response	12	12	3					3		
	Wave 3 response	4	4								
	Total Response	51	51	12					12	58.0%	21
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	1143	1148								
	Wave 1 response	368	367	68	2				70		
	Wave 2 response	128	128	17	1				18		
	Wave 3 response	66	67	9					9		
	Total Response	560	562	94	3				97	49.0%	198
Moose											
NWT Totals											
1995/96											
Small Game											
Baffin											
Arctic Bay											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	1	1	6					6		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	6					6	66.7%	9
Cape Dorset											
	Total Held	8	8								
	Wave 1 response	3	3	20					20		
	Wave 2 response	2	2								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	5	5	20					20	62.5%	32
Clyde River											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	2	2	2	1				3		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	2	1				3	100.0%	3

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Hall Beach											
	Total Held	4	4						12		
	Wave 1 response	1	1	10	2				7		
	Wave 2 response	1	1	7							
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	17	2				19	50.0%	38
Iqaluit											
	Total Held	81	81						92		
	Wave 1 response	30	30	74	10	8			21		
	Wave 2 response	19	19	21					5		
	Wave 3 response	3	3	2	3						
	Total Response	52	52	97	13	8			118	64.2%	184
Nanisivik											
	Total Held	5	5						6		
	Wave 1 response	4	4	6							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4	6					6	80.0%	8
Baffin											
	Total Held	104	104						133		
	Wave 1 response	41	41	112	13	8			34		
	Wave 2 response	24	24	34					5		
	Wave 3 response	3	3	2	3						
	Total Response	68	68	148	16	8			172	65.4%	263
Delta-ISR											
Aklavik											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1	8					8		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1	8					8	100.0%	8
Fort McPherson											
	Total Held	4	4						38		
	Wave 1 response	3	3	38							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	38					38	75.0%	51
Inuvik											
	Total Held	61	61						74		
	Wave 1 response	20	20	74					26		
	Wave 2 response	9	9	26					16		
	Wave 3 response			16							
	Total Response	29	29	116					116	47.5%	244

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Delta-ISR											
Regional Totals		Total Held	66								
		Wave 1 response	23	112					112		
		Wave 2 response	10	34					34		
		Wave 3 response		16					16		
		Total Response	33	162					162	50.0%	324
Keewatin											
Arviat											
		Total Held	7								
		Wave 1 response	4	50					50		
		Wave 2 response	1								
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	5	50					50	71.4%	70
Baker Lake											
		Total Held	7								
		Wave 1 response	2	6					6		
		Wave 2 response	2								
		Wave 3 response	1								
		Total Response	5	6					6	71.4%	8
Rankin Inlet											
		Total Held	4								
		Wave 1 response	1	13					13		
		Wave 2 response									
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	1	13					13	25.0%	52
Keewatin											
Regional Totals											
		Total Held	18								
		Wave 1 response	7	69					69		
		Wave 2 response	3								
		Wave 3 response	1								
		Total Response	11	69					69	61.1%	113
Kitikmeot											
Cambridge Bay											
		Total Held	9								
		Wave 1 response	5	63	25				88		
		Wave 2 response									
		Wave 3 response	2	4					4		
		Total Response	7	67	25				92	77.8%	118

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Gjoa Haven											
	Total Held	4	4						23		
	Wave 1 response	3	3	3	2	10	8				
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	3	2	10	8		23	75.0%	31
Kugluktuk											
	Total Held	9	9						9		
	Wave 1 response	4	4	9					20		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	20							
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	6	29					29	66.7%	44
Taloyoak											
	Total Held	4	4						7		
	Wave 1 response	4	4	5	2						
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4	5	2				7	100.0%	7
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	26	26						127		
	Wave 1 response	16	16	80	29	10	8		20		
	Wave 2 response	2	2	20					4		
	Wave 3 response	2	2	4							
	Total Response	20	20	104	29	10	8		151	76.9%	196
North Slave											
	Total Held	10	10						40		
	Wave 1 response	4	4	40							
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	5	5	40					40	50.0%	80
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	762	762						1589		
	Wave 1 response	203	203	1266	195	62	66		563		
	Wave 2 response	80	80	502	54	7			208		
	Wave 3 response	41	41	208					2360	42.5%	5550
	Total Response	324	324	1976	249	69	66				
North Slave											
	Total Held	772	772						1629		
	Wave 1 response	207	207	1306	195	62	66		563		
	Wave 2 response	81	81	502	54	7			208		
	Wave 3 response	41	41	208					2400	42.6%	5632
	Total Response	329	329	2016	249	69	66				

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Small Game		licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Sahtu												
Deline												
		Total Held	5	5								
		Wave 1 response	2	2	25	25				50		
		Wave 2 response	1	1	60					60		
		Wave 3 response										
		Total Response	3	3	85	25				110	60.0%	183
Fort Good Hope												
		Total Held	5	5								
		Wave 1 response	3	3								
		Wave 2 response										
		Wave 3 response										
		Total Response	3	3							60.0%	
Norman Wells												
		Total Held	41	41								
		Wave 1 response	21	21	147	51	29	31		258		
		Wave 2 response	7	7	73					73		
		Wave 3 response	4	4	7	24	12			43		
		Total Response	32	32	227	75	41	31		374	78.0%	479
Tulita												
		Total Held	3	3								
		Wave 1 response	1	1	3					3		
		Wave 2 response										
		Wave 3 response										
		Total Response	1	1	3					3	33.3%	9
Sahtu Regional Totals												
		Total Held	54	54								
		Wave 1 response	27	27	175	76	29	31		311		
		Wave 2 response	8	8	133					133		
		Wave 3 response	4	4	7	24	12			43		
		Total Response	39	39	315	100	41	31		487	72.2%	674
South Great Slave												
Fort Resolution												
		Total Held	4	4								
		Wave 1 response	1	1								
		Wave 2 response										
		Wave 3 response	2	2	1					1		
		Total Response	3	3	1					1	75.0%	1

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	118	118						714		
	Wave 1 response	39	39	694	20				492		
	Wave 2 response	19	19	480	12				30		
	Wave 3 response	5	5	23	7				1236	53.4%	2315
	Total Response	63	63	1197	39						
Hay River											
	Total Held	218	218			12			766		
	Wave 1 response	71	71	673	81				190		
	Wave 2 response	23	23	159	31				178		
	Wave 3 response	19	19	178		12			1134	51.8%	2188
	Total Response	113	113	1010	112						
Lutsel K'e											
	Total Held	4	4						38		
	Wave 1 response	3	3	38							
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	38					38	75.0%	51
South Great Slave Regional Totals											
	Total Held	344	344						1518		
	Wave 1 response	114	114	1405	101	12			682		
	Wave 2 response	42	42	639	43				209		
	Wave 3 response	26	26	202	7				2409	52.9%	4553
	Total Response	182	182	2246	151	12					
West Deh Cho											
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	12	12						143		
	Wave 1 response	5	5	125	18						
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	6	6	125	18				143	50.0%	286
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	5	5						85		
	Wave 1 response	4	4	20	37	12	16				
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4	20	37	12	16		85	80.0%	106
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	72	72						505		
	Wave 1 response	28	28	448	57				306		
	Wave 2 response	9	9	308							
	Wave 3 response	4	4						811	56.9%	1424
	Total Response	41	41	754	57						

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Small Game	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Nahanni Butte											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	2	2	14					14		
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	14					14	100.0%	14
Wrigley											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1	24					24		
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2	24					24	100.0%	24
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	94	94								
	Wave 1 response	39	39	617	112	12	16		757		
	Wave 2 response	13	13	320					320		
	Wave 3 response	4	4								
	Total Response	56	56	937	112	12	16		1077	59.6%	1808
Small Game											
	Total Held	1478	1478								
	Wave 1 response	474	474	3876	528	133	121		4656		
	Wave 2 response	183	183	1682	97	7			1786		
	Wave 3 response	81	81	439	34	12			485		
	Total Response	738	738	5997	657	152	121		6927	49.9%	13873
Wolf											
Baffin											
Iqaluit											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
Pond Inlet											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Wolf	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Baffin											
		Total Held	4	4							
		Wave 1 response	1	1							
		Wave 2 response									
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	1	1						25.0%	
Regional Totals											
		Total Held	6	6							
		Wave 1 response	2	2							
		Wave 2 response	1	1							
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	3	3						50.0%	
Delta-ISR											
		Total Held	6	6							
		Wave 1 response	2	2							
		Wave 2 response	1	1							
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	3	3						50.0%	
Delta-ISR											
		Total Held	6	6							
		Wave 1 response	2	2							
		Wave 2 response	1	1							
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	3	3						50.0%	
Keewatin											
		Total Held	2	2							
		Wave 1 response	1	1							
		Wave 2 response							1		
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	1	1					1	50.0%	2
Rankin Inlet											
		Total Held	2	2							
		Wave 1 response	1	1							
		Wave 2 response									
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	1	1					1	50.0%	2
Keewatin											
		Total Held	2	2							
		Wave 1 response	1	1							
		Wave 2 response							1		
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	1	1					1	50.0%	2
Kitikmeot											
		Total Held	2	2							
		Wave 1 response	1	1							
		Wave 2 response									
		Wave 3 response									
		Total Response	1	1					1	50.0%	2

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Wolf	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Cambridge Bay											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	2	2							66.7%	
Kugluktuk											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Taloyoak											
	Total Held	1	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	2							100.0%	
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	5	6								
	Wave 1 response	3	4								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	4	5							83.3%	
North Slave											
Rae-Edzo											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
Yellowknife											
	Total Held	157	202								
	Wave 1 response	43	57	20					20		
	Wave 2 response	19	22	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	11	12	2					2		
	Total Response	73	91	23					23		51
North Slave											
	Total Held	159	204								
	Wave 1 response	44	58	20					20		
	Wave 2 response	19	22	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	11	12	2					2		
	Total Response	74	92	23					23		45.1%
											51

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Wolf	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Sahtu											
Fort Good Hope											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	15	18						2		
	Wave 1 response	7	8	2					1		
	Wave 2 response	5	7	1							
	Wave 3 response	1	1						3	88.9%	3
	Total Response	13	16	3							
Sahtu											
	Total Held	16	19						2		
	Wave 1 response	8	9	2					1		
	Wave 2 response	5	7	1							
	Wave 3 response	1	1						3	89.5%	3
	Total Response	14	17	3							
South Great Slave											
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	10	10						1		
	Wave 1 response	3	3	1							
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4	1					1	40.0%	3
Hay River											
	Total Held	18	20								
	Wave 1 response	4	4								
	Wave 2 response	4	4								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	9	9							45.0%	
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	28	30						1		
	Wave 1 response	7	7	1							
	Wave 2 response	5	5								
	Wave 3 response	1	1						1	43.3%	2
	Total Response	13	13	1							
West Deh Cho											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Wolf	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							100.0%	
Fort Providence											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	10	12								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3							25.0%	
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	12	14								
	Wave 1 response	4	4								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	5	5							35.7%	
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	232	285								
	Wave 1 response	70	86	23					23		
	Wave 2 response	31	36	3	3				3		
	Wave 3 response	14	15	2	2				2		
	Total Response	115	137	28	28				28	48.1%	58
Wolverine											
Kitikmeot											
Cambridge Bay											
	Total Held	4	4								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	3	3							75.0%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Wolverine	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Kugluktuk											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							100.0%	
Taloyoak											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	7	7								
	Wave 1 response	5	5								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	6	6							85.7%	
Regional Totals											
North Slave											
	Total Held	61	68								
	Wave 1 response	17	20	7					7		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	4	4	1					1		
	Total Response	27	30	10					10	44.1%	23
North Slave											
	Total Held	61	68								
	Wave 1 response	17	20	7					7		
	Wave 2 response	6	6	2					2		
	Wave 3 response	4	4	1					1		
	Total Response	27	30	10					10	44.1%	23
Regional Totals											
Sahtu											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Fort Good Hope											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Wolverine	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Norman Wells											
	Total Held	11	12								
	Wave 1 response	5	6								
	Wave 2 response	5	5								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	11	12							100.0%	
Tulita											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Sahtu											
	Total Held	13	14								
	Wave 1 response	6	7								
	Wave 2 response	5	5								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	12	13							92.9%	
South Great Slave											
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							50.0%	
Hay River											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
South Great Slave											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							33.3%	
West Deh Cho											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Wolverine	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							100.0%	
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	2	2							40.0%	
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	7	7								
	Wave 1 response	3	3								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	4	4							57.1%	
Regional Totals											
	Total Held	91	99								
	Wave 1 response	32	36	7					7		
	Wave 2 response	12	12	2	2				2		
	Wave 3 response	6	6	1	1				1		
	Total Response	50	54	10	10				10	54.5%	18

Woodland Caribou

Baffin											
Iqaluit											
	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Resolute Bay											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Woodland Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Baffin											
	Total Held	1	1								
Regional Totals											
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
										100.0%	
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	2	2								
Inuvik											
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	2	2								
										100.0%	
Delta-ISR											
	Total Held	2	2								
Regional Totals											
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	2	2								
										100.0%	
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	1	1								
Cambridge Bay											
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1								
										100.0%	
Kitikmeot											
	Total Held	1	1								
Regional Totals											
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1								
										100.0%	
North Slave											
	Total Held	3	3								
Rae-Edzo											
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1								
										33.3%	

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Woodland Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Yellowknife	Total Held	299	299								
	Wave 1 response	88	88	7					7		
	Wave 2 response	27	27	3					3		
	Wave 3 response	9	9							41.5%	24
	Total Response	124	124	10					10		
North Slave	Total Held	302	302								
	Wave 1 response	89	89	7					7		
	Wave 2 response	27	27	3					3		
	Wave 3 response	9	9							41.4%	24
	Total Response	125	125	10					10		
Regional Totals											
Sahtu	Total Held										
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Fort Good Hope	Total Held	2	2								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response									50.0%	
	Total Response	1	1								
Norman Wells	Total Held	36	36								
	Wave 1 response	16	16	3					3		
	Wave 2 response	6	6								
	Wave 3 response	6	6	1					1		
	Total Response	28	28	4					4	77.8%	5
Tulita	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Sahtu	Total Held	39	39								
	Wave 1 response	17	17	3					3		
	Wave 2 response	6	6								
	Wave 3 response	6	6	1					1		
	Total Response	29	29	4					4	74.4%	5
Regional Totals											

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Woodland Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
South Great Slave											
Enterprise											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response										
Fort Smith											
	Total Held	5	5								
	Wave 1 response	1	1								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response	1	1								
	Total Response	3	3							60.0%	
Hay River											
	Total Held	110	110								
	Wave 1 response	36	36	4					4		
	Wave 2 response	14	14	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	12	12	2					2		
	Total Response	62	62	7					7	56.4%	12
South Great Slave Regional Totals											
	Total Held	116	116								
	Wave 1 response	37	37	4					4		
	Wave 2 response	15	15	1					1		
	Wave 3 response	13	13	2					2		
	Total Response	65	65	7					7	56.0%	12
West Deh Cho											
Fort Liard											
	Total Held	8	8								
	Wave 1 response	3	3								
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3							37.5%	
Fort Providence											
	Total Held	4	4								
	Wave 1 response	3	3	3					3		
	Wave 2 response										
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3	3					3	75.0%	4

Hunter Response and Kills by Survey Wave

1995/96	Woodland Caribou	licences	tags	1st hunt kills	2nd hunt kills	3rd hunt kills	4th hunt kills	5th hunt kills	total kills	survey coverage	Estimated kill (ratio method)
Fort Simpson											
	Total Held	49	49						4		
	Wave 1 response	21	21	4							
	Wave 2 response	3	3								
	Wave 3 response	3	3								
	Total Response	27	27	4					4	55.1%	7
Nahanni Butte											
	Total Held	1	1								
	Wave 1 response										
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	1	1							100.0%	
Wrigley											
	Total Held	3	3								
	Wave 1 response	2	2								
	Wave 2 response	1	1								
	Wave 3 response										
	Total Response	3	3							100.0%	
West Deh Cho											
	Total Held	65	65						7		
	Wave 1 response	29	29	7							
	Wave 2 response	5	5								
	Wave 3 response	3	3								
	Total Response	37	37	7					7	56.9%	12
Woodland Caribou											
	Total Held	526	526						21		
	Wave 1 response	174	174	21					4		
	Wave 2 response	53	53	4					3		
	Wave 3 response	32	32	3					28	49.2%	57
	Total Response	259	259	28							

APPENDIX 4

Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported and Estimated Kill

1995/96

Small Game

Reported

Estimated

Hunts	Kills	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare	Reply Rate	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Ptarmigan	Hare
-------	-------	------------------	------------------	---------------------	-----------	------	---------------	------------------	------------------	---------------------	-----------	------

1995/96

Small Game

Baffin

Arctic Bay	1	6		6			0.667		9			
Cape Dorset	2	20			10	10	0.625				16	16
Clyde River	2	3			2	1	1.000				2	1
Hall Beach	3	19			12	7	0.500				24	14
Iqaluit	20	118	5	4	98	11	0.642	8	6		153	17
Nanisivik	2	6				6	0.800					8
Regional total	30	172	5	10	122	35	0.654	8	15		187	54

Delta-ISR

Aklavik	1	8			8		1.000				8	
Fort McPherson	1	38			38		0.750				51	
Inuvik	12	116	16		79	21	0.475	34			166	44
Regional total	14	162	16		125	21	0.500	32			250	42

Keewatin

Arviat	1	50			50		0.714				70	
Baker Lake	1	6			6		0.714				8	
Rankin Inlet	3	13			3	10	0.250				12	40
Regional total	5	69			59	10	0.611				97	16

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	9	92			76	16	0.778				98	21
Gjoa Haven	4	23			23		0.750				31	
Kugluktuk	5	29	4		24	1	0.667	6			36	1
Taloyoak	2	7			5	2	1.000				5	2
Regional total	20	151	4		128	19	0.769	5			166	25

Small Game Harvest by Community Respondents, Reported and Estimated Kill

1995/96

Small Game

95/96 Small Game	Reported						Estimated							
	Hunts	Kills	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Plamigan	Hare	Reply Rate	Spruce Grouse	Ruffed Grouse	Sharptail Grouse	Plamigan	Hare	
North Slave														
Rae-Edzo	4	40	11		10	18	1	0.500	22		20	36	2	
Yellowknife	192	2360	804	272	96	1052	136	0.427	1885	638	225	2467	319	
Regional total	196	2400	815	272	106	1070	137	0.427	1907	636	248	2503	320	
Sahtu														
Deline	3	110	27	2		65	16	0.600	45	3		108	27	
Fort Good Hope	1	0						0.600						
Norman Wells	30	374	55	8	143	156	12	0.780	70	10	183	200	15	
Tulita	1	3				3		0.333				9		
Regional total	35	487	82	10	143	224	28	0.722	114	14	198	310	39	
South Great Slave														
Fort Resolution	1	1	1					0.750	1					
Fort Smith	43	1236	487	412	54	216	67	0.534	912	772	101	405	125	
Hay River	74	1134	429	188	203	293	21	0.518	828	363	392	565	41	
Lutsel K'e	1	38	6			30	2	0.750	8			40	3	
Regional total	119	2409	923	600	257	539	90	0.529	1745	1134	486	1019	170	
West Deh Cho														
Fort Liard	5	143	42	67	34			0.500	84	134	68			
Fort Providence	4	85	58	3	17	7		0.800	73	4	21	9		
Fort Simpson	25	811	268	376	122	34	11	0.569	471	660	214	60	19	
Nahanni Butte	1	14	2	12				1.000	2	12				
Wrigley	1	24	10	4		10		1.000	10	4		10		
Regional total	36	1077	380	462	173	51	11	0.596	638	776	290	86	18	
NWT total for 1995/96														
	455	6927	2225	1354	679	2318	351	0.500	4450	2708	1358	4636	702	

APPENDIX 5

Waterfowl Harvest Reported by Community Respondents

1995/96 Waterfowl	Hunts	Kills	Mallard	Pintail	Scaup	Teal	Wigeon	Other Ducks	Snow Geese	Canada Geese	White Fronted	Other Geese
1995/96												
Waterfowl												
Baffin												
	Hall Beach	1	6					2	4			
	Iqaluit	2	14					8		6		
	Regional total	3	20					10	4	6		
Delta-ISR												
	Aklavik	1	9	3	4		2					
	Inuvik	5	59	29		2	5	20		3		
	Regional total	6	68	32	4	2	7	20		3		
Keewatin												
	Arviat	2	90			2	2		43	23	10	
	Rankin Inlet	6	35					2	30	3		
	Regional total	8	125			2	2	2	73	26	10	
Kitikmeot												
	Cambridge Bay	4	20					8		2	4	6
	Regional total	4	20					8		2	4	6
North Slave												
	Rae-Edzo	1	5	5								
	Yellowknife	53	497	225	24	46	57	46	1	1	21	
	Regional total	54	502	230	24	46	57	46	1	1	21	
Sahtu												
	Fort Good Hope	2	18	8		2						
	Norman Wells	2	0									

Waterfowl Harvest Reported by Community Respondents

1995/96

Waterfowl

	Hunts	Kills	Mallard	Pintail	Scaup	Teal	Wigeon	Other Ducks	Snow Geese	Canada Geese	White Fronted	Other Geese
Regional total	4	18	8			2		8				
South Great Slave												
Fort Resolution	3	91	46	2		4	9	30				
Fort Smith	8	158	77	14		16	3	16	3	28	1	
Hay River	14	120	53	8	2	6	12	26	3	7	3	
Regional total	25	369	176	24	2	26	24	72	6	35	4	
West Deh Cho												
Fort Providence	1	7	2				3	2				
Regional total	1	7	2				3	2				
NWT total for 1995/96	105	1129	448	60	83	76	93	168	84	93	18	6

APPENDIX 6

Waterfowl Hunting, Estimates of Permits, Response and Harvest

1995/96 Waterfowl	Resident Hunters with Migratory Bird Permits	% that Hunted	Reported Harvest		Reply Rate	Estimated Harvest	
			Ducks	Geese		Ducks	Geese
1995/96							
Waterfowl							
Baffin							
Hall Beach	3	100%	2	4	0.400	5	10
Iqaluit	2	100%	8	6	0.576	14	10
Regional total	4	100%	10	10	0.569	18	18
Delta-ISR							
Aklavik	3	100%	9	0	0.400	23	0
Inuvik	8	100%	56	3	0.495	113	6
Regional total	10	100%	65	3	0.490	133	6
Keewatin							
Arviat	3	100%	14	76	0.667	21	114
Rankin Inlet	5	100%	2	33	0.577	3	57
Regional total	8	100%	16	109	0.605	26	180
Kitikmeot							
Cambridge Bay	5	67%	8	12	0.607	13	20
Regional total	5	67%	8	12	0.607	13	20
North Slave							
Rae-Edzo	2	100%	5	0	0.538	9	0
Yellowknife	90	87%	475	22	0.420	1130	52
Regional total	92	87%	480	22	0.422	1137	52
Sahtu							
Fort Good Hope	2	100%	18	0	0.600	30	0
Norman Wells	1	100%	0	0	0.750	0	0
Regional total	3	100%	18	0	0.738	24	0
South Great Slave							
Fort Resolution	3	100%	91	0	0.750	121	0
Fort Smith	16	63%	126	32	0.516	244	62
Hay River	23	75%	107	13	0.531	201	24
Regional total	42	73%	324	45	0.529	613	85
West Deh Cho							
Fort Providence	1	100%	7	0	0.800	9	0
Regional total	1	100%	7	0	0.800	9	0
NWT total for 1995/96	165	85%	928	201	0.480	1935	419

APPENDIX 7

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Barren-Ground Caribou													

1995/96

Barren-Ground Caribou

Baffin													4.0
Arctic Bay	1	4.0											2.3
Cape Dorset	3	2.7	1	1.0									3.0
Clyde River	1	3.0											3.0
Hall Beach	1	3.0	1										1.7
Igloolik	5	1.8	1	1.0									1.7
Iqaluit	62	2.0	31	1.6	21	1.1	9	1.3	4	1.0			1.0
Kimmirut	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0			2.2
Nanisivik	5	2.4	1	1.0									3.0
Pangnirtung	2	3.0											1.0
Pond Inlet	1	1.0	1	1.0									1.7
Regional summary	82	2.1	37	1.5	22	1.1	10	1.3	5	1.0			

Delta-ISR													1.0
Aklavik	2	1.0											2.0
Fort McPherson	1	2.0											2.6
Inuvik	25	3.0	6	1.4	1	1.0							1.0
Tuktoyaktuk	1	1.0											2.5
Regional summary	29	2.7	6	1.4	1	1.0							

Keewatin													1.0
Arviat	7	1.0	3	1.0	1	1.0							1.7
Baker Lake	3	1.7											1.0
Coral Harbour	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0			2.8
Rankin Inlet	12	3.8	6	1.2	1	1.0	1	1.0					2.0
Regional summary	23	2.6	10	1.1	3	1.0	2	1.0	1	1.0			

Kitikmeot													1.7
Cambridge Bay	10	1.9	3	1.3	2	1.0							1.0
Gjoa Haven	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0							1.4
Kugluktuk	6	1.5	2	1.0									2.0
Pelly Bay	1	1.0	1	2.0	1	3.0							2.5
Taloyoak	5	2.8	1	1.0									1.7
Regional summary	23	1.9	8	1.3	4	1.5							

North Slave													2.7
Rae-Edzo	3	2.7											2.1
Yellowknife	210	2.2	23	1.5	10	2.0	2	2.5					2.1
Regional summary	213	2.2	23	1.5	10	2.0	2	2.5					

Sahtu													3.0
Deline	1	3.0											

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Barren-Ground Caribou													
Fort Good Hope	2	1.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	1	4.0	1	4.0			2.6
Norman Wells	9	5.3											5.3
Regional summary	12	4.4	2	2.5	2	2.5	1	4.0	1	4.0			3.9
South Great Slave													
Fort Smith	14	2.6											2.6
Hay River	19	2.3	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0			2.1
Lutsel K'e	3	3.0											3.0
Regional summary	36	2.5	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0			2.3
West Deh Cho													
Fort Simpson	6	3.0	1										3.0
Regional summary	6	3.0	1										3.0
NWT Barren-Ground Caribou, 1995/96	424	2.3	88	1.4	43	1.4	16	1.6	8	1.4			2.1

Black Bear

Delta-ISR													
Inuvik	1	5.0											5.0
Regional summary	1	5.0											5.0
North Slave													
Yellowknife	28	3.9	1										3.9
Regional summary	28	3.9	1										3.9
Sahtu													
Norman Wells	1	1.0											1.0
Regional summary	1	1.0											1.0
South Great Slave													
Fort Smith	5	8.5											8.5
Hay River	4	16.0											16.0
Regional summary	9	11.0											11.0
West Deh Cho													
Fort Providence	1												
Fort Simpson	3	3.5											3.5
Regional summary	4	3.5											3.5
NWT Black Bear, 1995/96	43	5.1	1										5.1

Dall's Sheep

Delta-ISR													
Inuvik	1	3.0											3.0
Regional summary	1	3.0											3.0
Kitikmeot													
Cambridge Bay	1	7.0											7.0

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96 Dall's Sheep	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand days	Avg
Regional summary	1	7.0												7.0
North Slave														8.0
Yellowknife	3	8.0												8.0
Regional summary	3	8.0												
Sahtu														4.1
Norman Wells	13	4.1	1	4.0										4.1
Regional summary	13	4.1	1	4.0										
South Great Slave														6.8
Hay River	4	6.8												6.8
Regional summary	4	6.8												
West Deh Cho														6.0
Fort Liard	1	6.0												9.7
Fort Simpson	4	9.7												8.8
Regional summary	5	8.8												5.8
NWT Dall's Sheep, 1995/96	27	5.9	1	4.0										

Moose

Baffin														4.0
Iqaluit	1	3.0	1	5.0										4.0
Regional summary	1	3.0	1	5.0										
Delta-ISR														4.0
Fort McPherson	1	4.0												5.7
Inuvik	14	5.7												5.6
Regional summary	15	5.6												
Kitikmeot														1.0
Kugluktuk	1	1.0												1.0
Regional summary	1	1.0												
North Slave														5.0
Rae-Edzo	1	5.0												4.0
Yellowknife	147	4.3	18	2.6	5	2.6								4.0
Regional summary	148	4.3	18	2.6	5	2.6								
Sahtu														6.0
Deline	1	6.0	1	6.0										3.3
Fort Good Hope	2	3.5	1	2.0	1	4.0								4.1
Norman Wells	17	4.1												1.0
Tulita	1	1.0	1	1.0										3.9
Regional summary	21	4.0	3	3.0	1	4.0								
South Great Slave														1.0
Fort Resolution	2	1.0												

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96 Moose	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Fort Smith	40	7.1	3	2.7									6.7
Hay River	52	4.3	5	3.8	1	4.0							4.2
Lutsel K'e	1	6.0											6.0
Regional summary	95	5.4	8	3.4	1	4.0							5.2
West Deh Cho													
Fort Liard	2	5.5	1										5.5
Fort Providence	3	16.0											16.0
Fort Simpson	20	4.1	1	4.0									4.1
Nahanni Butte	2	6.5											6.5
Wrigley	1	1.0											1.0
Regional summary	28	5.2	2	4.0									5.1
NWT Moose, 1995/96	309	4.7	32	3.0	7	3.0							4.5
Small Game													
Baffin													
Arctic Bay	1												
Cape Dorset	2												
Clyde River	1		1										
Hall Beach	2		1										
Iqaluit	16		3		1								
Nanisivik	2												
Regional summary	24		5		1								
Delta-ISR													
Aklavik	1												
Fort McPherson	1												
Inuvik	11		1										
Regional summary	13		1										
Keewatin													
Arviat	1												
Baker Lake	1												
Rankin Inlet	3												
Regional summary	5												
Kitikmeot													
Cambridge Bay	7		2										
Gjoa Haven	1		1		1		1						
Kugluktuk	5												
Taloyoak	1		1										
Regional summary	14		4		1		1						
North Slave													
Rae-Edzo	4												

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96 Small Game	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Yellowknife	154		25		9		4						
Regional summary	158		25		9		4						
Sahtu													
Deline	2		1										
Fort Good Hope	1												
Norman Wells	19		6		3		2						
Tulita	1												
Regional summary	23		7		3		2						
South Great Slave													
Fort Resolution	1												
Fort Smith	40		3										
Hay River	62		10		2								
Lutsel K'e	1												
Regional summary	104		13		2								
West Deh Cho													
Fort Liard	4		1										
Fort Providence	1		1		1		1						
Fort Simpson	23		2										
Nahanni Butte	1												
Wrigley	1												
Regional summary	30		4		1		1						
NWT Small Game, 1995/96	371		59		17		8						

Waterfowl

Baffin													5.0
Hall Beach	1	5.0											3.5
Iqaluit	1	4.0	1	3.0									4.0
Regional summary	2	4.5	1	3.0									
Delta-ISR													7.0
Aklavik	1	7.0											5.0
Inuvik	5	5.0											5.4
Regional summary	6	5.4											
Keewatin													10.0
Arviat	2	10.0											1.8
Rankin Inlet	3	2.7	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0					3.0
Regional summary	5	4.5	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0					
Kitikmeot													6.0
Cambridge Bay	4	6.0											6.0
Regional summary	4	6.0											

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96 Waterfowl	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
North Slave													
Rae-Edzo	1	3.0											3.0
Yellowknife	34	5.1	9	3.4	6	1.6	3	1.5	1				4.2
Regional summary	35	5.0	9	3.4	6	1.6	3	1.5	1				4.2
Sahtu													
Fort Good Hope	1	3.0	1	6.0									4.5
Norman Wells	1	2.0	1	5.0									3.5
Regional summary	2	2.5	2	5.5									4.0
South Great Slave													
Fort Resolution	2	10.0	1	8.0									9.3
Fort Smith	8	6.8											6.8
Hay River	12	2.8	2	2.5									2.7
Regional summary	22	4.9	3	4.3									4.8
West Deh Cho													
Fort Providence	1	12.0											12.0
Regional summary	1	12.0											12.0
NWT Waterfowl, 1995/96	77	5.0	16	3.7	7	1.5	4	1.3	1				4.4

Wolf

Baffin													
Iqaluit	1												
Regional summary	1												
Keewatin													
Arviat	2												
Rankin Inlet	1												
Regional summary	3												
Kitikmeot													
Cambridge Bay	2												
Kugluktuk	1												
Taloyoak	1												
Regional summary	4												
North Slave													
Rae-Edzo	1												
Yellowknife	37												
Regional summary	38												
Sahtu													
Fort Good Hope	1												
Norman Wells	3												
Regional summary	4												

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96 Wolf	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
South Great Slave													
Fort Smith	1												
Hay River	2												
Regional summary	3												
West Deh Cho													
Fort Providence	1												
Fort Simpson	2												
Regional summary	3												
NWT Wolf, 1995/96	56												
Wolverine													
Kitikmeot													
Cambridge Bay	2												
Kugluktuk	1												
Regional summary	3												
North Slave													
Yellowknife	18												
Regional summary	18												
West Deh Cho													
Fort Simpson	1												
Regional summary	1												
NWT Wolverine, 1995/96	22												
Woodland Caribou													
Baffin													4.0
Iqaluit	1	3.0	1	5.0									4.0
Regional summary	1	3.0	1	5.0									
Delta-ISR													7.0
Inuvik	1	7.0											7.0
Regional summary	1	7.0											
Kitikmeot													
Cambridge Bay	1												
Regional summary	1												
North Slave													3.8
Yellowknife	69	3.8	2	3.5									3.8
Regional summary	69	3.8	2	3.5									
Sahtu													6.0
Deline	1	6.0	1	6.0									7.0
Norman Wells	9	7.0											

Number of Hunts Made and Average Days Hunted by Respondents

1995/96 Woodland Caribou	1st hunt	Avg days	2nd hunt	Avg days	3rd hunt	Avg days	4th hunt	Avg days	5th hunt	Avg days	6th hunt	Avg days	Grand Avg
Regional summary	10	6.9	1	6.0									6.8
South Great Slave													
Fort Smith	1	3.0											3.0
Hay River	27	3.6	2	4.0									3.6
Regional summary	28	3.6	2	4.0									3.6
West Deh Cho													
Fort Providence	3	3.0											3.0
Fort Simpson	12	4.8											4.8
Wrigley	1	1.0											1.0
Regional summary	16	4.2											4.2
NWT Woodland Caribou, 1995/96	126	4.1	6	4.3									4.1

APPENDIX 8

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1995/96
Barren-Ground Caribou

Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills	Males	Females	Juvs
-------------	-------	-------	-------	---------	------

1995/96

Barren-Ground Caribou

Baffin

Arctic Bay	B4	1	0			
Cape Dorset	B5	4	3	3		
Clyde River	B4	1	2		2	
Hall Beach	I	2	2	1		1
Igloolik	B4	2	2	2		
	I	4	5	5		
Iqaluit	B5	126	183	112	53	18
Kimmirut	B5	5	9	8	1	
Nanisivik	B4	6	6	5	1	
Pangnirtung	B5	2	7	7		
Pond Inlet	B4	2	2	1	1	

Delta-ISR

Aklavik	D1	2	8	1	7	
Fort McPherson	D1	1	0			
Inuvik	C1	1	0			
	C2	12	17	14	1	2
	C3	11	15	13	2	
	D1	6	7	2	5	
Tuktoyaktuk	C2	1	0			

Keewatin

Arviat	J1	8	15	5	10	
	J3	2	2	1	1	
Baker Lake	H1	3	1	1		
Coral Harbour	J2	5	5	1	3	1
Rankin Inlet	B5	1	2	2		
	J1	19	26	20	6	

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	B2	13	23	9	8	6
	F2	2	2		1	1
Gjoa Haven	B3	2	2	1	1	
	H1	1	2		1	1
Kugluktuk	C1	4	5		3	2
	F1	1	1			1
	F2	3	5	4	1	
Pelly Bay	I	3	3	2	1	
Taloyoak	B3	5	16	10	6	
	B4	1	1	1		

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1995/96

Barren-Ground Caribou

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Males

Females

Juvs

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	F1	1	1	1		
	F2	2	3	3		
Yellowknife	B2	1	1	1		
	B5	1	5	1	4	
	C1	2	3	3		
	F1	192	347	185	145	17
	F2	34	91	48	39	4
	G1	3	6	4	1	1
	G2	3	4	4		
	H3	1	0			
	J1	1	0			

Sahtu

Deline	C1	1	0			
Fort Good Hope	C1	8	9	3	6	
Norman Wells	C1	6	14	10	2	2
	E1	1	1	1		
	E2	1	0			

South Great Slave

Fort Smith	F1	7	18	3	13	2
	F2	1	1	1		
	G3	1	3	2	1	
	H3	5	6	5	1	
Hay River	F1	12	42	25	15	2
	F2	2	1	1		
	G2	2	0			
	H3	6	10	2	8	
Lutsel K'e	J1	1	5	2	3	
	B1	1	2	1		1
	H3	2	10	6	4	

West Deh Cho

Fort Simpson	F1	6	19	17	2	
--------------	----	---	----	----	---	--

Moose

Baffin

Iqaluit	G2	2	0			
---------	----	---	---	--	--	--

Delta-ISR

Fort McPherson	C3	1	1		1	
Inuvik	C1	1	0			

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1995/96
Moose

Area Hunted Hunts Kills Males Females Juvs

Inuvik

C2
C3
D3

2
9
1

1
6
0

1
4

2

Juvs

Kitikmeot

Kugluktuk

F2

1

1

1

North Slave

Rae-Edzo
Yellowknife

G1
D
E1
F1
F2
G1
G2

1
1
2
85
3
48
16

0
0
2
22
1
7
2

2
13
1
5

8
2

1

Sahtu

Deline

Fort Good Hope
Norman Wells

Tulita

C1
G1
C1
C1
E1
E2
C1
E2

1
1
4
5
5
6
1
1

0
0
0
2
2
3
0
0

1
2

1
1

South Great Slave

Fort Resolution
Fort Smith

Hay River

Lutsel K'e

G3
G1
G3
H3
F1
G1
G2
G3
H3
H3

2
1
31
7
2
20
24
8
1
1

1
0
8
4
0
8
9
3
0
0

1
7
2
5
8
2

1
1
2
1

1

West Deh Cho

Fort Liard

Fort Providence

Fort Simpson

E2
G2
G1
G2
G1

2
1
1
2
19

1
0
0
2
7

1
1
6

1

Management Areas Hunted for Cervids by Community Respondents

1995/96 Moose		Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills	Males	Females	Juvs
	Fort Simpson	G2	2	0			
	Nahanni Butte	G1	2	1		1	
	Wrigley	G1	1	1		1	
Woodland Caribou							
	Baffin						
	Iqaluit	G2	2	0			
	Delta-ISR						
	Inuvik	C3	1	0			
	North Slave						
	Yellowknife	C1	1	0			
		D	1	0			
		E1	2	2	2		
		F1	27	5	4	1	
		F2	2	1		1	
		G1	25	1	1		
		G2	6	1			1
	Sahtu						
	Deline	C1	1	0			
		G1	1	0			
	Norman Wells	C1	3	2	1	1	
		E1	5	2	2		
		E2	1	0			
	South Great Slave						
	Fort Smith	G1	1	0			
	Hay River	E1	1	0			
		G1	13	5	5		
		G2	12	2	2		
		G3	1	0			
	West Deh Cho						
	Fort Providence	G1	2	3	1	1	1
		G2	1	0			
	Fort Simpson	E1	2	1	1		
		G1	9	3	1	2	
	Wrigley	G1	1	0			

APPENDIX 9

Management Areas Hunted for Black Bear by Community Respondents

1995/96
Black Bear

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Boars

Sows

1995/96

Black Bear

Delta-ISR

Inuvik

C3

1

0

North Slave

Yellowknife

C1

1

0

F1

9

6

5

1

F2

1

1

1

G1

14

5

5

G2

1

1

1

Sahtu

Norman Wells

E1

1

1

1

South Great Slave

Fort Smith

G3

5

3

3

Hay River

G1

1

1

1

G2

2

0

West Deh Cho

Fort Providence

G2

1

0

Fort Simpson

G1

2

1

1

APPENDIX 10

Management Areas Hunted for Sheep by Community Respondents

1995/96

Dall's Sheep

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Full
Curl

Part
Curl

1995/96

Dall's Sheep

Delta-ISR

Inuvik

E1

1

0

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay

C3

1

1

1

North Slave

Yellowknife

E2

1

0

G1

2

1

1

Sahtu

Norman Wells

C1

1

0

E1

7

3

3

E2

3

1

1

South Great Slave

Hay River

E1

1

0

E2

3

0

West Deh Cho

Fort Liard

E2

1

2

2

Fort Simpson

E1

2

2

1

1

E2

2

1

1

APPENDIX 11

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1995/96
Small Game

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

1995/96

Small Game

Baffin

Arctic Bay	B4	1	6
Cape Dorset	B5	2	20
Clyde River	B4	2	3
Hall Beach	I	3	19
Iqaluit	B5	17	105
	G2	3	13
Nanisivik	B4	2	6

Delta-ISR

Aklavik	D1	1	8
Fort McPherson	D1	1	38
Inuvik	C2	3	51
	C3	8	60
	D1	1	5

Keewatin

Arviat	J1	1	50
Baker Lake	H1	1	6
Rankin Inlet	J1	3	13

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	B2	8	88
	F2	1	4
Gjoa Haven	B3	4	23
Kugluktuk	C1	1	20
	E1	1	4
	F2	2	5
Taloyoak	B3	2	7

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	G1	4	40
Yellowknife	C1	2	42
	F1	119	1313
	F2	6	97
	G1	46	636
	G2	11	174
	G3	1	1

Sahtu

Deline	C1	2	85
--------	----	---	----

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1995/96 Small Game	Area Hunted	Hunts	Kills
Deline	G1	1	25
Fort Good Hope	E1	1	0
Norman Wells	C1	25	328
	E2	4	22
Tulita	E1	1	3
South Great Slave			
Fort Resolution	H3	1	1
Fort Smith	G2	1	0
	G3	42	1236
Hay River	G1	33	497
	G2	31	533
	G3	4	54
	H3	4	13
Lutsel K'e	H3	1	38
West Deh Cho			
Fort Liard	E2	2	67
	G1	1	40
	G2	2	36
Fort Providence	G2	4	85
Fort Simpson	G1	22	739
	G2	3	72
Nahanni Butte	G1	1	14
Wrigley	G1	1	24
Waterfowl			
Baffin			
Hall Beach	I	1	6
Iqaluit	B5	2	14
Delta-ISR			
Aklavik	D1	1	9
Inuvik	C2	1	15
	C3	2	35
	D1	1	3
Keewatin			
Arviat	J1	2	90
Rankin Inlet	J1	6	35
Kitikmeot			
Cambridge Bay	B2	2	18

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1995/96

Waterfowl

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

North Slave

Rae-Edzo	G1	1	5
Yellowknife	C1	1	5
	F1	47	449
	G1	4	33
	G2	1	10

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope	C1	2	18
Norman Wells	C1	2	0

South Great Slave

Fort Resolution	G3	3	91
Fort Smith	G3	5	127
Hay River	G1	5	69
	G2	6	48

West Deh Cho

Fort Providence	G2	1	7
-----------------	----	---	---

Wolf

Baffin

Iqaluit	B5	1	
---------	----	---	--

Keewatin

Arviat	C1	1	
Rankin Inlet	J1	1	1

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay	B2	2	
Kugluktuk	C1	1	

North Slave

Yellowknife	F1	24	18
	F2	7	4
	G1	2	1
	G2	1	

Sahtu

Fort Good Hope	C1	1	
Norman Wells	C1	2	2
	E1	1	1

South Great Slave

Fort Smith	H3	1	1
------------	----	---	---

Management Areas Hunted for Other Game by Community Respondents

1995/96
Wolf

Area Hunted

Hunts

Kills

Hay River

G1
G3

1
1

West Deh Cho

Fort Providence
Fort Simpson

G1
G1

1
1

Wolverine

Kitikmeot

Cambridge Bay
Kugluktuk

B2
F2
C1

1
1
1

North Slave

Yellowknife

F1
F2

7
7

3
6

West Deh Cho

Fort Simpson

G1

1

APPENDIX 12

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1995/96

Barren-Ground Caribou

Area

Community

Hunts

Kills

Bulls

Cows

Juvs

1995/96

Barren-Ground Caribou

B1

Lutsel K'e	1	2	1		1
------------	---	---	---	--	---

B2

Cambridge Bay	13	23	9	8	6
Yellowknife	1	1	1		

B3

Gjoa Haven	2	2	1	1	
Taloyoak	5	16	10	6	

B4

Arctic Bay	1	0			
Clyde River	1	2		2	
Igloolik	2	2	2		
Nanisivik	6	6	5	1	
Pond Inlet	2	2	1	1	
Taloyoak	1	1	1		

B5

Cape Dorset	4	3	3		
Iqaluit	126	183	112	53	18
Kimmitut	5	9	8	1	
Pangnirtung	2	7	7		
Rankin Inlet	1	2	2		
Yellowknife	1	5	1	4	

C1

Deline	1	0			
Fort Good Hope	8	9	3	6	
Inuvik	1	0			
Kugluktuk	4	5		3	2
Norman Wells	6	14	10	2	2
Yellowknife	2	3	3		

C2

Inuvik	12	17	14	1	2
Tuktoyaktuk	1	0			

C3

Inuvik	11	15	13	2	
--------	----	----	----	---	--

D1

Aklavik	2	8	1	7	
---------	---	---	---	---	--

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1995/96

Barren-Ground Caribou

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
	Fort McPherson	1	0			
	Inuvik	6	7	2	5	
E1	Norman Wells	1	1	1		
E2	Norman Wells	1	0			
F1	Fort Simpson	6	19	17	2	
	Fort Smith	7	18	3	13	2
	Hay River	12	42	25	15	2
	Kugluktuk	1	1			1
	Rae-Edzo	1	1	1		
	Yellowknife	192	347	185	145	17
F2	Cambridge Bay	2	2		1	1
	Fort Smith	1	1	1		
	Hay River	2	1	1		
	Kugluktuk	3	5	4	1	
	Rae-Edzo	2	3	3		
	Yellowknife	34	91	48	39	4
G1	Yellowknife	3	6	4	1	1
G2	Hay River	2	0			
	Yellowknife	3	4	4		
G3	Fort Smith	1	3	2	1	
H1	Baker Lake	3	1	1		
	Gjoa Haven	1	2		1	1
H3	Fort Smith	5	6	5	1	
	Hay River	6	10	2	8	
	Lutsel K'e	2	10	6	4	
	Yellowknife	1	0			
I	Hall Beach	2	2	1		1

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1995/96

Barren-Ground Caribou

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
	Igloolik	4	5	5		
	Pelly Bay	3	3	2	1	
J1	Arviat	8	15	5	10	
	Hay River	1	5	2	3	
	Rankin Inlet	19	26	20	6	
	Yellowknife	1	0			
J2	Coral Harbour	5	5	1	3	1
J3	Arviat	2	2	1	1	

Moose

C1	Deline	1	0			
	Fort Good Hope	4	0			
	Inuvik	1	0			
	Norman Wells	5	2	1	1	
	Tulita	1	0			
C2	Inuvik	2	1	1		
C3	Fort McPherson	1	1		1	
	Inuvik	9	6	4	2	
D	Yellowknife	1	0			
D3	Inuvik	1	0			
E1	Norman Wells	5	2	2		
	Yellowknife	2	2	2		
E2	Fort Liard	2	1	1		
	Norman Wells	6	3	2	1	
	Tulita	1	0			
F1	Hay River	2	0			

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1995/96 Moose	Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
		Yellowknife	85	22	13	8	1
	F2						
		Kugluktuk	1	1			1
		Yellowknife	3	1	1		
	G1						
		Deline	1	0			
		Fort Providence	1	0			
		Fort Simpson	19	7	6	1	
		Fort Smith	1	0			
		Hay River	20	8	5	2	1
		Nahanni Butte	2	1		1	
		Rae-Edzo	1	0			
		Wrigley	1	1		1	
		Yellowknife	48	7	5	2	
	G2						
		Fort Liard	1	0			
		Fort Providence	2	2	1		1
		Fort Simpson	2	0			
		Hay River	24	9	8	1	
		Iqaluit	2	0			
		Yellowknife	16	2	1	1	
	G3						
		Fort Resolution	2	1	1		
		Fort Smith	31	8	7		1
		Hay River	8	3	2	1	
	H3						
		Fort Smith	7	4	2	1	1
		Hay River	1	0			
		Lutsel K'e	1	0			

Woodland Caribou

	C1						
		Deline	1	0			
		Norman Wells	3	2	1	1	
		Yellowknife	1	0			
	C3						
		Inuvik	1	0			
	D						
		Yellowknife	1	0			

Communities that Hunted Cervids in the Various Management Areas

1995/96

Woodland Caribou

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Bulls	Cows	Juvs
E1						
	Fort Simpson	2	1	1		
	Hay River	1	0			
	Norman Wells	5	2	2		
	Yellowknife	2	2	2		
E2						
	Norman Wells	1	0			
F1						
	Yellowknife	27	5	4		1
F2						
	Yellowknife	2	1			1
G1						
	Deline	1	0			
	Fort Providence	2	3	1	1	1
	Fort Simpson	9	3	1	2	
	Fort Smith	1	0			
	Hay River	13	5	5		
	Wrigley	1	0			
	Yellowknife	25	1	1		
G2						
	Fort Providence	1	0			
	Hay River	12	2	2		
	Iqaluit	2	0			
	Yellowknife	6	1			1
G3						
	Hay River	1	0			

APPENDIX 13

Communities that Hunted Black Bear in the Various Management Areas

1995/96
Black Bear

Area Community Hunts Kills Boars Sows

1995/96
Black Bear

C1	Yellowknife	1	0		
C3	Inuvik	1	0		
E1	Norman Wells	1	1	1	
F1	Yellowknife	9	6	5	1
F2	Yellowknife	1	1	1	
G1	Fort Simpson	2	1	1	
	Hay River	1	1	1	
	Yellowknife	14	5	5	
G2	Fort Providence	1	0		
	Hay River	2	0		
	Yellowknife	1	1	1	
G3	Fort Smith	5	3	3	

APPENDIX 14

Communities that Hunted Sheep in the Various Management Areas

1995/96
Dall's Sheep

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills	Full Curl	Part Curl
------	-----------	-------	-------	--------------	--------------

1995/96
Dall's Sheep

C1

Norman Wells

1

0

C3

Cambridge Bay

1

1

1

E1

Fort Simpson

2

2

1

1

Hay River

1

0

Inuvik

1

0

Norman Wells

7

3

3

E2

Fort Liard

1

2

2

Fort Simpson

2

1

1

Hay River

3

0

Norman Wells

3

1

1

Yellowknife

1

0

G1

Yellowknife

2

1

1

APPENDIX 15

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1995/96
Small Game

Area

Community

Hunts

Kills

1995/96
Small Game

B2	Cambridge Bay	8	88
B3	Gjoa Haven	4	23
	Taloyoak	2	7
B4	Arctic Bay	1	6
	Clyde River	2	3
	Nanisivik	2	6
B5	Cape Dorset	2	20
	Iqaluit	17	105
C1	Deline	2	85
	Kugluktuk	1	20
	Norman Wells	25	328
	Yellowknife	2	42
C2	Inuvik	3	51
C3	Inuvik	8	60
D1	Aklavik	1	8
	Fort McPherson	1	38
	Inuvik	1	5
E1	Fort Good Hope	1	0
	Kugluktuk	1	4
	Tulita	1	3
E2	Fort Liard	2	67
	Norman Wells	4	22
F1	Yellowknife	119	1313
F2			

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1995/96
Small Game

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
	Cambridge Bay	1	4
	Kugluktuk	2	5
	Yellowknife	6	97
G1	Deline	1	25
	Fort Liard	1	40
	Fort Simpson	22	739
	Hay River	33	497
	Nahanni Butte	1	14
	Rae-Edzo	4	40
	Wrigley	1	24
	Yellowknife	46	636
G2	Fort Liard	2	36
	Fort Providence	4	85
	Fort Simpson	3	72
	Fort Smith	1	0
	Hay River	31	533
	Iqaluit	3	13
	Yellowknife	11	174
G3	Fort Smith	42	1236
	Hay River	4	54
	Yellowknife	1	1
H1	Baker Lake	1	6
H3	Fort Resolution	1	1
	Hay River	4	13
	Lutsel K'e	1	38
I	Hall Beach	3	19
J1	Arviat	1	50
	Rankin Inlet	3	13

Waterfowl

B2	Cambridge Bay	2	18
-----------	---------------	---	----

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1995/96
Waterfowl

Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
B5	Iqaluit	2	14
C1	Fort Good Hope	2	18
	Norman Wells	2	0
	Yellowknife	1	5
C2	Inuvik	1	15
C3	Inuvik	2	35
D1	Aklavik	1	9
	Inuvik	1	3
F1	Yellowknife	47	449
G1	Hay River	5	69
	Rae-Edzo	1	5
	Yellowknife	4	33
G2	Fort Providence	1	7
	Hay River	6	48
	Yellowknife	1	10
G3	Fort Resolution	3	91
	Fort Smith	5	127
I	Hall Beach	1	6
J1	Arviat	2	90
	Rankin Inlet	6	35

Wolf

B2	Cambridge Bay	2	
B5	Iqaluit	1	

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1995/96 Wolf	Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
	C1	Arviat	1	
		Fort Good Hope	1	
		Kugluktuk	1	
		Norman Wells	2	2
	E1	Norman Wells	1	1
	F1	Yellowknife	24	18
	F2	Yellowknife	7	4
	G1	Fort Providence	1	
		Fort Simpson	1	
		Hay River	1	
		Yellowknife	2	1
	G2	Yellowknife	1	
	G3	Hay River	1	
	H3	Fort Smith	1	1
	J1	Rankin Inlet	1	1

Wolverine

B2	Cambridge Bay	1	
C1	Kugluktuk	1	
F1	Yellowknife	7	3
F2	Cambridge Bay	1	
	Yellowknife	7	6
G1			

Communities that Hunted Other Game in the Various Management Areas

1995/96 Wolverine	Area	Community	Hunts	Kills
		Fort Simpson	1	